1	NY Gateway Connections Improvement Project to the
2	U.S. Peace Bridge Plaza Section 106 Consulting Party
3	Meeting held pursuant to Notice, at the Department
4	of Transportation at 100 Seneca Street, Buffalo, New
5	York, on Tuesday, July 30th at 1:00 p.m. before
6	Susan Capobianco, Notary Public.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	PRESENT:
12	Department of Transportation State of New York DANIEL A. STREETT, P.E., L.S.
13	Special Assistant to Chief Engineer Engineering Division, Room 6E41
14	50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12232
15	PARSONS
16	THOMAS R. DONOHUE  Principal Project Manager
17	40 La Riviere Drive, Suite 350 Buffalo, New York 14202
18	Barraro, New York Press
19	
20	
21	
22	MR. STREETT: Good afternoon, everyone.
23	We will get started here. There's a couple of more

people coming in. Feel free to find a seat anywhere you can. We have a sign-in list that is going around. The sign-in list is the actual official register of who is here. If for some reason a name got mistyped or something like that, feel free to correct the name. There should be no additional names being added to that, that we know of. right. As I said, there is a sign-in list. We ask that everyone register to sign-in so we have an official record that you were actually here.

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

The meeting today will provide consulting parties an opportunity to articulate their views regarding the projects actual effects on historical property. And to offer their input on possible measures to avoid, mitigate and minimize She will be

carefully what you say and speak loudly enough so 1 that she can hear that. We want to make sure that 2 we capture your words correctly. We ask that you 3 respect each person that is speaking at there will be one speaker at a time. I will be the facilitator for the meeting. I will attempt to go around and 6 give everyone an opportunity to speak. There will be no limitations on who speaks. Just everyone will 8 have to please wait their turn, that's all we ask. 9 We ask when you do speak you identify your name and 10 who are you representing so the stenographer will 11 know who you are and why you are here. We ask that 12 no side bar conversations continues that adds 13. additional background noise and makes it difficult 14 to hear who might be speaking at the time. 15 that you stay focused on the agenda. This is 16 Section 106 process. It is not the appropriate 17 forum to get on discussions of other environmental 18 It will be strictly focused on the Section 19 106 for us. All right. 20

By the way, the rules are -- the guideline today that I just covered are right up here on the board as a reference.

21

22

1	I think now what we will do is go around
2	
2	the room and ask each person to identify your name
3	and who or what you are representing here at the
4	meeting and can we begin with you please?
5	MR. RABB: Sure. Andy Rabb, City of
6	Buffalo, Parks and Rec.
7	MR. SENSENHEY: Mike Sensenhey, Buffalo
8	Department of Public Works.
9	MS. LEGARD: Carol Legard, I'm with the
10	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation out of
11	Washington, D.C.
12	MS. KRAMER: Kaylie Kramer, Federal
13	Highway, New York Division.
14	MR. ANKER: Hans Anker, Federal Highway,
15	New York Division.
16	MR. HITT: Dan Hitt, New York State
17	Department of Transportation, Office of Environment.
18	MS. SANTANGELO: Mary Santangelo, New
19	York State Department of Transportation, Office of
20	Environment.
21	MS. LORENZ: Kim Lorenz, I'm landscape
22	environmental manager here at DOT.
23	MS. LEHMAN: Maria Lehman, New York

1	State Thruway.
2	MR. HERRERA-MISHLER: Thomas
3	Herrera-Mishler, CEO and president of Buffalo
4	Olmsted Parks and Conservancy.
5	MR. DOLD: Brian Dold, landscape
6	architect for Buffalo Olmstead Parks Conservatory.
7	MS. HERTER: Nancy Herter, Archeologist
8	with the state of New York Preservation Office.
9	MR. MONTAGUE: Nathan Montague,
10	Archeological Survey at SUNY.
11	MS. MARTINIA: Elizabeth Martina,
12	resident of Columbus Parkway and Prospect Hill
13	Columbus Park Community.
14	MS. MECCA: Kathy Mecca, resident of
15	Columbus Park and Columbus Park Association
16	MS. PERLA: Carole Perla, resident of
17	Columbus Parkway and the Prospect Hill Historic
18	District.
19	MR. CERTO: Peter Joseph Certo. I'm
20	also a Columbus Parkway resident and member of the
21	Columbus Park Association.
22	MR. RAYMOND: Andy Raymond, New York
23	State Department of Transportation Buffalo

1	Landscaping Environmental.
2	MR. MOZELLE: Greg Mozelle, New York
3	State Department of Transportation Buffalo Design
4	Office
5	MR. WILSON: Jason Wilson from
6	Preservation Buffalo Niagara.
7	MR. TIELMAN: Tim Tielman, Campaign for
8	Greater Buffalo History, Architecture and Culture.
9	MR. BROWN: Clinton Brown, Clinton Brown
10	Company Architecture.
11	MR. GRIFFIS: Jim Griffis, Ecology and
12	Environment.
13	MS. GREGORY: Ecology and Environment.
14	MS. LANGTON: Anglia Langton, New York
15	State Department of Transportation.
16,	MR. DOFF: Chris Doff, Parsons.
17	MS. JONES: Sylvia Jones, I'm the
18	Regional Environmental contact for DOT.
19	MR. JAMES: Mr. James, New York State
20	Department or Conservation of Region 5 Design.
21	MR. SCHOLLS: Cameron Scholls, New York
22	State Department of Transportation Region 5 Design.
23	MR. PERRELLI: Doug Perrelli, Director

1	of UB Archeological Survey.
2	MS. DETINE: Linda DeTine, Prospect Hill
3	resident.
4	MS. SMITH: Rachel Smith, Ecology and
5	Environment.
6	MR. ROBINSON: Terry Robinson, board
7	member of Preservation Buffalo Niagara.
8	MR. STREETT: My name is Dan Streett
9	Special Assistant to Chief Engineer of DOT.
10	Today we have three shorts presentations
11	for you. First of all to brief you on the project
12	itself. We will go through those presentations and
13	then after we have the presentations then we will
14	provide an open forum for individualized comments.
· 15	So with that, I'd like to turn it over
16	to Tom Donohue for Parsons Transportation Group.
17	They are our consultants and they will provide you
18	with a technical presentation.
19	MR. DONOHUE: This is going to be kind
20	of like on overview of the project in general. Some
21	of you might have seen some of these slides at the
22	scoping meeting last month.
23	But the first slide is just the purpose

and need of the project. It was really stated in the NOI that was published a while ago now, but the primary need for the project is to address the limited direct access between the plaza and I-190 and the purpose is to reduce the need of local streets by interstate traffic and provide access to the existing Plaza at its current location. The objective, therefore, are to address the need for direct access from the Plaza to the northbound lanes of the Thruway and to redirect through traffic from Front Park and to remove Baird Drive.

So in order to kind of accomplish these objectives, let's take a look at the project overview and take a look at existing proposed conditions.

First of all, looking at the traffic routing off the Plaza first. This project intends to maintain the existing route off the Plaza to the southbound Thruway off the Plaza to the local network using the ramp behind the Plaza and creating a new ramp D from the Plaza directly onto the northbound Thruway. The traffic heading towards the Plaza, the existing route from the northbound

Thruway will be maintained as it is today. A new route for local traffic accessing the Plaza, would actually go down Porter Avenue, but down on to this new ramp PN, which will be connected to the existing ramp which takes us to the Plaza. And conversely, the Thruway southbound route would also use the same ramp coming off Porter Avenue.

A few things we actually have to consider was, a lot of things we have to consider, some of the major things we need to consider, as far as engineering goes, is the -- I should have stopped here. Once we get this kind of condition in place, we could review Baird Drive. All this is showing is Baird Drive being removed and restored to green space. But some of the conditions we haven't looked at is the highlight of the Riverwalk, the existing ramp that takes you off of Porter Avenue on to the Thruway northbound, the entrance into the existing Front Park and Porter Avenue itself, specifically the bridge and the area next to the ramp and the area connecting to LaSalle Park.

The first piece I want to take a look at is the Riverwalk. This is just an aerial view kind

1 of taken off of a helicopter right where the existing Riverwalk crosses over the railroad tracks, 3 goes down a ramp and underneath the Thruway. 4 if you can visualize this new ramp D, in this yellow 5 arrow here, that is pretty much where it would be on this aerial. It's going right over the top or at 7 least cutting part of the Riverwalk in half right One of the things that we considered was 9 just narrowing down the Riverwalk a little bit to 10 squeeze it in and narrowing down this existing access road to make everything fit. It's a very 11 12 tight squeeze and I think we lose a couple of feet 13 width of the Riverwalk. MR. MOZELLE: Can you hold up for a 14 We need to dial someone in. 15 second? 16 MR. STREETT: This is Region 5. 17 MS. WARBIDSKI: I think a number of us 18 This is Tanya from the Preservation League 19 of New York State. 20 MR. STREETT: You each need to state your name and who you are calling in from for the 21 22 stenographer's purposes. So go ahead, Tanya. 23 MS. WARBIDSKI: Tanya Warbidski,

1	Preservation League of New York State.
2	MR. STREETT: Thank you. Next?
3	MS. KATHERINE LESLIE: Katherine Leslie
4	from New York State DOT main office.
5	MS. RITA CAMPON: Rita Campon from
6	Parsons Transportation Group.
7	MR. STREETT: Thank you very much. We
8	will continue along now with our presentation.
9	MS. MERRITT: Elizabeth Merritt,
10	National Trust for Historic Preservation.
11	MR. STREETT: Thank you all. Tom, if
12	you could continue with your presentation now.
13	MR. DONOHUE: We have to squeeze
14	everything in here. What we are also considering is
15	not having the Riverwalk in that area, so I took the
16	red line off and relocated the Riverwalk a little
17	bit north of where it comes across the track and the
18	Thruway to the south of the bridge. We will get it
19	all the way across the Thruway to where it comes
20	almost up to the canal and run along the canal and
21	tie it in right where it comes out underneath the
22	Thruway.
2.3	Another drawing of this here is showing

the same thing on an aerial view. This here area is the Riverwalk shown. I think I'm showing basically a directional affect of the direction we are going to using. This also helps us — if we get the Riverwalk out of the way, it helps us actually to open up this access road to the pumping station. We could actually provide a two lane road for those folks. I think that's it for this one.

I want to go around the outside of the project and kind of start with Front Park itself. This drawing here or this photograph here, shows the hatched area of where the existing pavement and sidewalk would be actually moved underneath this project. We wanted to maintain the existing walkways which would be severed by taking this pavement out. So we wanted to maintain a continuous walkway from the existing park up to basically Busti Avenue, both on the south side of the park and the north side of the park near this ramp. We will be working with Olmstead to see if this makes any sense with their project as well or with their master plan.

Coming down from Front Park, and the

23

entrance from Front Park, we were considering relocating that as well. The existing parkway entrance comes out the park at this area here. And we are going to be modifying the intersection a little bit further down. So we thought we would move this driveway and line it up with the existing intersection that would be modified from removing Baird Drive. This is Baird Drive coming out. were thinking about relocating the park entrance off of the existing driveway area. This was actually an old connection at one time, not that long ago, to Baird Drive. And just utilize some of that pavement and bring it in square with Lakeview on the other side of street and maintain the traffic signal right there for pedestrians to cross. Again, we are looking at maintaining the walkways and connecting to the existing walkway system in the park. helps us provide a safe and secure area for pedestrians that cross Porter Avenue and to avoid what is coming down the street further with these new ramps going up. So this area here seemed to be an ideal place for pedestrians crossing from pedestrians coming out of the park in this direction

just across the sidewalk and have a wide area or wide sidewalk on the south side of Porter Avenue. It could possibly be a multiuse path as well.

Moving a little bit further down towards the LaSalle Park, there is a couple of options we have for the connections of ramp PN, a new ramp PN and ramp P. This is obviously a roundabout. We would have connections from Porter Avenue around the roundabout to both getting on the bridge, which is ramp PN and also onto the Thruway ramp P.

Again, pedestrian area would be located along the south side of this roundabout. At one time we were considering crossing there on the north side. We heard a lot of comments from the scoping meeting that it should be on the south side or at least an area where it would not cross a lot of the children and pedestrians and bicyclists across those new ramps. Another option is possibly a signalized intersection to eliminate the roundabout. The same thing, we would have basically indicated lanes, depending if you wanted to go on the Thruway or to the Peace Bridge. The traffic signals aren't shown here, but we would have to arrange somehow for

signals at this intersection. And, again, this would also show the pedestrians on the south side of the Porter Avenue.

Now, we did in part of our scoping we did talk to some of the stakeholders, a couple of them actually being the City of Buffalo and the Thruway Authority. And during our discussions with the Thruway Authority they have future plans to replace the Porter Avenue Bridge over the Thruway. And if things could be timed out correctly, we might incorporate their work on the Thruway Bridge with the work that is going to go on with these ramps. This idea was kind of born out of that combination. We were thinking about -- considering bringing the ramp off the Thruway northbound a little bit sooner and bring them on this side of this abutment that currently exists. This abutment would have to be replaced as well. But this would also straighten out the ramps coming off the Thruway. It would also separate the two ramps that are taking you to the Peace Bridge into the Thruway itself. It might even eliminate the traffic signals and just get by with stop signs. This would just be one direction off of

23

Porter Avenue. We would have to study it to make sure it would even be feasible.

This is just showing the traffic headed off the Thruway and heading onto the new ramp. And from there, of course, they go either straight to Niagara Street or over to the Plaza.

And, again, like I was saying the pedestrians would stay right on the south side all the way across this new bridge. And even if the bridge didn't get replaced, we are considering taking these four lanes that currently exist bring it down to three lanes and make a nice wide sidewalk on one side for pedestrians. Right now it's a very narrow sidewalk and either way. It's not ideal. So either way this pedestrian way would be provided.

Schedule wise, we are still kind of on schedule. The scoping meeting was held last month. We have the meeting today for the consulting parties. We hope to get this project scoped out actually next week or maybe the week after, but it's going to be early August. We are going to have the DEIS out for public review in November with the public hearing in December of this year. We

anticipate having the FDIS out for review in February of next year. A record of decision in April and construction to actually start in May of next year.

So that's an overview of where we are with designs and considerations, as far as the alternatives being looked at.

We have another presentation coming up now to give us an overview of the Section 106 process.

MR. STREETT: Thank you, Tom. Our next speaker, who is on her way around is Sylvia Jones. She is the Regional Environmental Contact and Cultural Resource Coordinator here in Region 5 in Buffalo. Sylvia will be able to talk about the series of steps that defines the Section 106 consultation process, including the process of evaluating properties for the National Register eligibility and assessing the project effects. Sylvia.

MS. JONES: Hi, everybody. How are you doing? Can everybody hear me? I'm not accused quiet so. If I start to taper down, give me a

thumbs up in the back and I'll speak up.

So what you're looking at here is an 1897 picture with a painting and it is a vantage point from the front. So just a little fun fact there.

Preservation Act. Okay, so basically this requires the federal agencies to account for their undertaking and the affect it has on historic properties. So they also involve the council and by council we usually refer to as the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation and we typically refer to them as the council or ACHP, which is a mouthful. So when you hear me say council, that is what I'm referring to.

36 CFR, part 800 is the protection. It defines now the federal agencies go about protecting historic properties through the 106 process. And it's pretty lengthy and you can find it online and read away.

The purpose of the 106 process is to accommodate historic preservation concerns with the need for federal undertakings. By federal

undertakings we mean anything that requires federal actions. So any federal permits, any federal licenses or approvals, that's what we mean by a federal undertaking.

2.2

2.3

The goal of consultation is to identify historic properties that affect the undertaking and find ways to avoid and minimize and mitigate those affects on historic properties.

So who participates? Well, you have FHWA, DOT, SHPO the Adversary Council, federally recognized tribes and other consulting parties and the public.

So I'm going to go through each one and kind of give you an overview of those participant's role in the 106 process.

So FHWA, they are responsible for the 106 findings and the documentation. They approve the project and any action as a result of the 106 compliance. So basically they are the be all and end all. They the deciding factor in everything.

DOT's role, they initiate the consultation. They prepare the information.

They've analyze the data and they recommend the

eligibility and affect and they do that with consultation.

SHPO. SHPO has an advisory role. They assist FHWA and the DOT with the 106 responsibility. They consult on the findings of eligibility and effect as well as measures to avoid minimize and mitigate any affects to historic properties.

The council, they have an oversee and regulation compliance role. They may choose to participate. They are not required to participate in the consultation process. For this particular project the council is participating and we --

MS. LEGARD: Well, we are just providing technical assistance.

MS. JONES: Technical assistance at this point, okay, but they are here present today.

Federally recognized -- wait one more slide. Federally recognized tribes. Consultation is held regarding the properties that may have a religious or cultural significance to the nations. And the federal agencies, in our case FHWA, maintains the government to government relationship with the nations. Now we have both the Seneca

Nation of Indians as well as the Tonawanda Senecas participating in the consultation process for this project.

2.0

2.1

Other consulting parties and the public. Consulting parties are approved by the federal agency, in this case FHWA, and based on the requirements submitted and reviewed, those individuals have been specifically invited to participate as a consulting party and here you all are. Consulting parties have the right to receive information and articulate your views. Your views are then accompanied with the public input go into the decision making process. So there's basically four steps to the 106 process. There is initiating for the section 106 process, identifying historic properties, assessing the projects effects, and resolving any adverse effects that may be found.

So I'm going to go kind of go through them step by step to give you an idea of what is entailed in each one of those steps. So step one is initiating the 106 process. So first you have too establish whether or not the 106 -- section 106 applies to this undertaking and we have already done

that and established that that it is, which is why we are here.

Does the project have the potential to cause affect to historic properties? Well, we are working on that. That's part of the process of undergoing the 106 process.

Identifying the federally recognized tribes and we have done that and like I said, we have the Seneca Nation and Tonawanda Senecas participating.

Involve the public and identify the consulting parties. So as Tom mentioned, back in June 11th of this year, we had a scoping meeting. And at the scoping meeting we identified the project. We presented the project and we gave everybody an opportunity to become a consulting party in the 106 process.

So step 2 is identifying the historic properties. So we start out by looking at the area of potential effect. And the area of potential effect is basically a geographic area of the project where alterations of historic properties may occur. It doesn't mean that they are going to occur, but

they may occur. So for this particular project the AP has already been defined and everybody received a map of that in the package that you were sent for this meeting. So the AP defines the scope of identification effort. So basically anything that is within the project APE will be looked at to determine whether or not there is historic properties within that boundary. It delineates the horizontal and vertical boundaries as well. And should the project scope change for whatever reason or any reason, we may reevaluate the APE and that may change. It doesn't necessarily have to change, but it is looked at periodically through the process.

So identifying historic properties within the APE. The responsibility for that is basically a good faith effort that you put forth to determine that you have identified all the historic properties that could be affected that is within the APE.

Is it a perfect scheme? No, there are times where you might miss something, but it is basically a good faith effort to try and identify

everything. To establish and document the APE, which we have already established and review and update any existing information on historic properties. So we have studies that show us where historic properties were for this particular project and we sent people out and they updated that information through field surveys and evaluation to the see if anything new came into those criteria.

So what do we look for when we are looking for historic properties? So we are basically looking for building sites, district structures, objects that are listed or eligible for listing on the National Historic Registry of Historic Places. The age of the structure comes into play. So typically it's about 50 years. It doesn't necessarily mean it has to be 50 years. A perfect example would be the Twin Towers for 9/11. Obviously they are not 50 years old, but they have a historic significance to this country. So that type of issue would mean something less, but it has to have a exceptional significance.

To be eligible the property must meet at least one once of the National Register's criteria

and retain essential characters or character defining features from that period. I'll give you the National Register criteria says from the next slide. So basically it has to maintain those characteristics.

So here are the characteristics for the National Register Criteria. There are basically four of them. I'm not going to read them to you. You've got them in your handouts and they are basically pretty self-explanatory.

So as we mentioned in the slide previous, you must meet at least one or more of these criteria and it must maintain its integrity and the ability for the property to convey its historic identity. So basically when and why it was eligible for the National Register to begin with so it has to maintain that.

So this is the slide of the APE and everybody got a copy of this and I guess it's a pink line. I thought it was a red line, but the pink line shows the project APE. So you'll see that that kind of extends wider and it encompasses the Prospect Hill Historic District. That's the blue

district there. All it is is outlining the district and the green is the direct APE. That's where we were looking to find where you might have the most effects based on the projects review. And like I said, it is subject to change, based on the scope of the project.

So now the next -- I would say five or six slides are basically the meat and potatoes effects. So it's going to tell you basically how we determine the effects of a project or an undertaking.

So effect means basically the alteration of characteristics of the historic property. So again changes to what made it eligible for the National Register to begin with.

So no historic properties affected. If no historic properties are found, then the project will a no affect because you don't have any historic properties. Or if the historic properties exist, but the undertaking of the project is not impacting them then you'll have a no impact or no adverse effect or a no adverse effect.

So if historic properties are affected,

this supplies the criteria of an adverse effect, and that's in the next slide. And it actually gives you the criteria associated with an adverse effect.

So the criteria of an adverse effect.

An adverse effect occurs when the project alters directly or indirectly any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualified the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that diminishes the integrity of the properties location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feelings or association.

If the criteria of an adverse effect do not apply, then a no adverse effect is issued. And then a documentation of finding of no adverse effect is sent to SHPO and consulting parties and the 30 day review begins.

So basically you have three ways of determining an effect of the undertaking. You have a no effect. You have a no adverse effect and then you have an adverse effect.

So once you make an affective determination, you document the assessment of that effect. The documentation is the same for a no

adverse effect as it is for an adverse effect. To the documentation remains the same.

So basically you have the description of the APE. Steps taken to identify the historic property. The description of the historic property and its qualifying characteristics. A description of the projects effects on historic properties, an explanation of why the criteria is either a no adverse effect or an adverse effect, depending on what is found. And then conditions to avoid, minimize and mitigate those effects on the historic property and then a summary of the consulting parties and the public's view.

So the written comments that come in during the 30 day comment period are then reviewed and then are considered in the decision making process. Then FHWA issues a no adverse effect determination or an adverse effect determination, I'm not saying what they are going to do. So if it's a no adverse effect determination, that fulfills the requirements of 36 CFR Part 800. If it's an adverse effect is found then an additional consultation is needed to resolve any of those

issues.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So the final step is step 4 which would be resolving those adverse effect issues. So these are elements -- these are elements that would find that affect the property. So, for example, if you had a no adverse effect and you had a historic, say, home and in front of that historic home is a 200 year old tree. It's part of the setting of that historic home, but it doesn't actually change the historic elements of the building itself. So if the proposed undertaking needed to remove that tree, you may mitigate that impact of removing that tree from the setting of the historic property to planting another tree adjacent to where that tree was removed. So that would be a no adverse effect. You've not actually changing the historic structure, but you altered something that contributed to the setting of that historic structure. So an adverse effect would be if you had to do something that impacted the actual structure itself. So if that is found to be the case, additional consultation to develop and evaluate measures to avoid minimize and mitigate the adverse effect of the historic

property.

Then you need to make a memorandum of agreement, which we call an MOA. And that basically documents the measures that were agreed upon to mitigate the adverse effect. Then the MOA becomes the record of compliance for the 106 and then FHWA ensures that the project carries out what was written in the MOA to make sure that the mitigation is complete.

MR. STREETT: Thank you, Sylvia. We are moving onto the next presentation, the third one, will be Jim Griffis from Ecology and Environmental. He will be summarizing the preliminary assessment of effects on the identified historical properties within the AP.

MR. GRIFFIS: Thank you. Good afternoon. In my presentation I'm going to go through some of the same steps that Sylvia talked about, but we are going to talk about them in relation to this project in particular.

This afternoon my presentation will briefly describe the various actions, investigations, analysis and consultations that DOT

and FHWA and the other parties involved have taken place to lead up to the drafting of the effects, findings that you have all received or should have received or got this afternoon. And let's talk about four particular areas.

This review quickly what is the APE for/or areas of potential effect for this project, the process of identifying historic architectural resources within the APE. The determination of the effects on those resources and I'm going to touch briefly on the archeological resources that may or may not be in the area.

In dividing the area of potential effect, it starts off with New York State DOT defining the area in coordination with FHWA and in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office or otherwise known as SHPO. As Sylvia mentioned, it represents the geographical area within which the project may directly or indirectly cause the alterations in the character for use of the historic properties. In this case the APE is important to remember, is determined directly as it relates to this project and what the purpose of this

project is. If we go back and remember what those were, mentioned earlier, is the direct connection to the front of the Peace Bridge Plaza through the northbound Thruway 190, that's ramp D with the newest access point for the Peace Bridge from the city of Buffalo, that's the one coming off of Porter Avenue. The renewal of Baird Drive through Front Park and the proposed relocation of the Porter Avenue entrance into Front Park.

Now, as mentioned earlier too, you have remember that as this project develops the APE may change as we move forward, in fact, it has from the initial finding earlier. I'll get to that in a minute.

The process -- to identify the historic process as far as resources in the area, we reviewed the existing information and many of you who were here and involved in the previous Peace Bridge project, over the last 10 years, we have done an extensive review of the area and we have identified a number of those properties, something like about a 110 within the neighborhood. And then we consulted the National Register to make sure that everything

was built the same, as we have done it before, understood it to be before and then DOT recently hired UB's Department of Anthropology to review the information to review the situation in the neighborhood and update the information as appropriate.

This process has resulted in an understanding of the above ground structures that we have in the area and has provided us with some information that might relate to unidentified or as yet unidentified archeological resources that are in the area.

A number of properties in the area have been identified in two basic areas. One the Front Park and Porter Avenue, which are part of the Olmstead Parks National Listing. They are contributing aspects of the whole park listing and the Prospect Hill Historic District which are APE as you saw a minute ago, covers part of that area.

Once DOT defined that area then we drafted up a letter and sent it to SHPO and they have reviewed it. In fact we have sent two, as I mentioned a minute ago, and they have concurred with

Δ

our APE as it is currently drawn on July 3rd. I suspect, as we move forward with some of the alternatives that we are discussing, that we might adjust it once again. And if that happens, obviously the consulting parties will be kept appraised of the situation.

We have received concurrence from SHPO of the APE, as it exists at the present time. And this has been passed on to federal highways and they concurred with the APE on July 5th. So we are moving ahead in that direction.

I'll bring you back to this slide here.

There is only one APE in the project, that's the outline in red or pink, if you are colorblind like I am a little bit. We are going incorporate, not only the area where we are going to to have direct impact, those are the areas shaded in green; that's where the construction is going to take place, but the areas where you have indirect impact, outside the construction zone.

And as I have mentioned to you before, the direct area of impact, which is shaded in green, I know has to be changed a little bit because of the

area up in here where we are changing the movement of the Riverwalk, that has to be expanded so we will be going back to SHPO and then FHWA again at least to talk about that. We may also incur some changes down here in Porter Avenue in the future, if that becomes a reality, as part of this project.

In the archeological resources, We haven't put shovel to ground yet in the area. We don't know what is there. All we know is what might be there. So we are going to have to pay particular attention as we move through, as this project progresses.

The results of the preliminary findings have indicated that we don't have anything in the area that we know of and for now the finding and effect reports no adverse effect to those resources. If something happens and we find something again then we will have to make those changes.

The basis for finding that there is no adverse effect in either the archeological or the historical resources at this point in time, is that the project does not alter the characteristics and quality of the historic properties that permit it to

be listed or eligible for listing on the National Register. And the project that's then determined, the project will not diminish the integrity of any of the historic properties, location, design, settings, materials, workmanship, feelings or

> Specifically Front Park and Porter Avenue changes will not alter the characteristics of either property to qualify them for the listing on the National Register. And the proposed removal of Baird Drive will eliminate traffic from the park returning to green space along with proposed restoration of the pedestrian walkways and will improve the activity between Front Park and the adjacent residential neighborhood, therefore, it has a beneficial effect. The proposed reconstruction of the Porter Avenue entrance into Front Park will improve access for the public and safety of those users. None of the project's proposed changes to Front Park, including any changes in the landscaping elements, preclude future implementation of the Buffalo Olmstead Park Conservancy's plans for the development of the project. And the proposed new

18 19 20 21 22 23

association.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

ramps and their intersection with Porter Avenue, and the southwest corner of the park and Porter Avenue Bridge are outside the boundaries, so they will not impact the properties.

For Prospect Hill Historic District, it's determined that the chances will not alter the characteristics that qualify the properties in National Register listing and its elements of the historic districts or for individual listings. It will not introduce adverse visual effects that diminish the historic integrity of those properties. Again, the removal of Baird Drive from the park will have a positive impact on the district's contributing resources within the area of potential effects by enhancing the view of Front Park and eliminating the visual and auditory impact associated with through traffic in the park. The project will have no direct adverse on the district itself or other contributing resources.

Archeological resources. As I indicated earlier, looking at the previous reports and in looking at the SUNY Buffalo's updated, we have not identified any archaeological resources

that are known to the area. The study area has been substantially modified by past development and the introduction of expensive amounts of fill in the area which has altered the landscape considerably, particularly below the bluff. The terms of the archeological sensitivity of Front Park is considered to have an extremely low sensitivity primarily because it was undeveloped at the time the park was designated. And despite the extensive ground disturbances that have taken place within the study here, we realize there remains a slight chance of some prehistoric and potentially historical archeological resources within the context of the urban setting.

For that reason the procedures that we are moving forward on, in the absence of any identified adverse effect, we would not prepare a memorandum or agreement at this point in time. And the proposed -- although we have a proposed finding of no adverse effects, as the project moves forward, additions for construction will include archaeological monitoring to insure that any potential cultural resources or deposits that are

encountered during construction, will be identified, evaluated and addressed in accordance with the 106 guidelines. We will have archeological monitors on-site during construction activity,

In conclusion then, the takeaway is that we have analyzed the project area. We have identified the area of potential effects. We have identified the potential effects on historic properties within the area and prepared the preliminary draft findings, which you have in your hands.

Now, we need your input as we move forward and would like to open this up for the roundtable discussion. Dan?

MR. STREETT: Please hold your questions, okay? We will get to everybody with the questions.

Tom, could you slip us back to that slide? For one thing I'm just going to attempt to do here is to clarify exactly what the scope of the project is, okay? Just so there is no misunderstanding. We went through a number of different iterations of what was being proposed.

For the scope of this project, what we are proposing is the primary intent is to provide a transportation link onto I-190 north. And new ramp D, as Tom explained earlier, is what is being proposed to being put in there. As part of that construction of that ramp, that causes some constraints along the Riverwalk where it comes across here and comes down along between the CSX right-of-way and the 190 right-of-way and then crosses underneath here. the reason because of that conflict in that area, that's why we are considering relocating Riverwalk to put a new bridge in and across the CSX and the 190 over to the bank over here and continue down and reconnect it. The intent of relocating the Riverwalk was caused by the fact that we constructed the new ramp and it created a constraint in between here for providing the access. I just want to be clear and that's why that is included in the scope. One of the other intents is to remove

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

One of the other intents is to remove
Baird Drive, going through the park, as was
mentioned before, and the relocation of the entrance
into the park. And Tom had covered three different
options of how to handle traffic getting from the

local street into the Plaza, which is all located down in this area. There is a roundabout in this area and then he also described an individual intersection in that area. Those were two different ways to deal with the traffic as shown on this sheet.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As part of our scoping process, we determined that there was a possibility of being able to include the replacement of this bridge, which was already on the program for the New York State Thruway for replacement. By replacing that bridge provide other opportunities in how to configure these ramps in this area. So we are looking at a third option of possibly putting a ramp in a different way down here. The sole purpose of that was to determine the best configuration of ramps in this area to get the traffic onto ramp A which comes into the Peace Bridge Plaza. So those three options down there are being considered. They will be carried forth into the drafting environmental impact statement as options at this point, until we make a determination of which best suits the needs and objectives of the project.

right? So I just wanted to make sure I covered that to insure that everybody was clear of what was being presented.

Next, just briefly we're going to have

Dan Hitt to explain the information you have

received a couple of packages, whether you know it

or not. You received some information here today.

You have received or will be receiving information
in the mail. I'll ask Dan to explain that to you.

MR. HITT: Thanks, Dan. On July 12th, all the of consulting parties should have received a copy of the area of potential effects as well as a copy of the undated Historic Properties and the Archaeological Sensitivity Report. Did anyone not get that package?

MS. MERRITT: This is Betsy Merritt from the National Trust. I have no record of ever receiving that package.

MR. HITT: We'll send you a copy. Also yesterday we sent out a draft of the findings documentation that overnight delivery. So if you haven't gotten it yet, you should get it sometime today. We will handout copies of the draft effects

finding here. This is the exact same copy that you will get in the mail, if you haven't gotten your mail already. Anybody who hasn't gotten it.

MR. STREETT: Keep in mind these are two sets of the exact same documentation. If you get it here, you are going to get it at home which is fine. I just want to let you know, nobody is going to be missing out on not having the information.

MR. HITT: So everybody should have gotten both packages in the mail. We will followup with Betsy. Let us know if you didn't get the copies. Everybody that is a recognized consultant party was sent both packages. They were sent yesterday and they were overnight, so you'll get it some time today.

MR. STREETT: All right. We will begin the process now of opening up the forum for questions, comments or concerns. This gentleman has been raising his hand for a while. So we will let him go first, if you don't mind.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Dan. Clinton

Brown. I may be representing a potential consulting

party. The Erie Canal Way National Heritage

23

Corridor Commission is a body created by congress under the aegis of the Department of the Interior and the National Park Service I'm a commissioner appointed by the secretary of interior. Whether our office responded to a request to be a consulting party or not, I don't see us on the list and I think we ought to be on the list because this is within the area of the National Heritage Corridor. Regarding that, we are undertaking a National Register nomination of the New York State Barge Canal in cooperation with the Canal Corporation and the Thruway Authority, which is in the process of being worked on with the State Historic Preservation Office in consultation with the New York State Museum and the National Park Service. So we are identifying historic and cultural resources within the boundaries of the State Barge Canal as a first step to identifying resources within the historic Erie Canal and all its permutations, including the area here and more specifically the Porter Avenue Bridge has remnants of the Erie Canal incorporated into its construction. So as Tom pointed out, the potential for reconstruction of that bridge, those

resources -- as well as resources in the waterway as the APE grows to include the Riverwalk potential ought to be acknowledged as historic resources that could be impacted, may or may not be impacted, but they ought be on the list.

Similarly within that corridor are the CPO Club or former CPO Club which was preliminarily identified by the Historic Preservation Office and eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places and the federal government is undertaking rehabilitation in consultation with the Historic Preservation Office.

MR. STREETT: Do you know what the CPO stand for?

MR. BROWN: Chief Petty Officer's Club.

It's associated with the New York Naval Reserve

Campus to the west of the Thruway and the APE. And
the APE may want to go to the water's edge to
incorporate their concerns in terms of vehicular
impact. Similarly, the Buffalo Yacht Club at the
foot of Porter Avenue in my view, I don't know if
anybody's advocated the Historic Preservation Office
through their officials, that that could be eligible

for listing of National Register of Historic Places, similarly the Cornell Ward Pumping Station.

If I might briefly touch on mitigation. It seems to me that in the update of the inventory figures 6, 7 and 8 show the intent of the business people and the Olmstead Commission on the output design of the Front Park and putting the new entrance drive, where Tom's slides have showed it, would not necessarily enhance its restoration because the entranceway to the park historically was at the corner of Busti and Porter. And as to the citizens who created the Olmstead, related Elmwood Park District. It was purposely designed that way. It would be a goal for mitigation to seek the restoration of the original Olmstead design when there is so much work going on anyway as distinct from the proposed creation of an intersection where there is a system driveway as you have shown in the slide.

Just one final comment. I have been involved with the Peace Bridge expansion for about 20 years now one way or the other. And I have to commend the Department of Transportation for the

21

22

23

1

2

3

seriousness with which it has taken its responsibility in this project and which it has sought to engage at the public opinion. It's very refreshing and it's a great way to go forward.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  STREETT: Thank you. Next person. The gentleman with the --

MR. TEILMAN: Tim Teilman, and I'm speaking on behalf of the Campaign for Greater Buffalo History, Architecture and Culture. I want to comment on a couple of areas.

The first is the need and it wasn't clear from this document. It states that the need has reduced the use of local streets by existing traffic and provides access to the existing plaza and its current location. It's difficult to tell why this is necessary without an idea of profile of what the traffic has been. Because a 2010 study by a pitched rating using Public Bridge Authority Traffic Statistics indicates year-to-year a two percent decline in traffic from the day the Lewiston-Queenston Bridge opened. Now, what impact -- I mean what is this going to address if traffic already declining? Is traffic on local

streets declining?

And then I think we have to look at, and again it's not in here, whether this project in fact will induce more traffic. So traffic inducing effects, things that make the Peace Bridge particularly easier to use for tractor trailer traffic have to be considered.

And I understand why this is being perhaps considered in isolation, but everyone is aware that Public Bridge Authority, as well as Duty Free America, they have plans that are ongoing and have been ongoing for 15 years to expand the Plaza. And there is no indication of how --

MR. STREETT: Excuse me. I just may have to interrupt you for a moment. We need to make sure that for the last line there, the agenda here is to stay focused on the 106 process, the historical properties. I'm just trying to ask you stay focused on -- the traffic is not really related to this topic. That's why I'm just trying to ask you to stay focused.

MR. TEILMAN: Well, I think obviously the impact of traffic noise that has a direct effect

on the desirability of the historic properties, the enjoyment of historic properties by residents and citizens. The amount of traffic traversing these ramps in front of Front Park between the viewer and the lake that resource that they want to enjoy, there is a direct effect

MR. STREETT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. TEILMAN: Another issue is the APE. I have here in front of me an original 1871, the first parks report by Olmstead and Baus and it has a map of Front Park, the bank, Sixth Street. isn't included in the APE. The bank particularly on the north hand side, remnants of it remains. very important scenic resource and historic resource for Buffalo. If it's not in the National Register documentation, it's got to be revisited because Olmsted and Baus clearly saw that as important for people coming from the north. The bank was the first point of which they see Lake Erie and the Niagara coming together and that's important because again you have a visual resource. And particularly new ramp D, it's unknown from the documentation provided because it's only bird's eye view straight

down. How is that going to affect people on the ground? The view shed from given points. It's very unclear and this has to be part of the evaluation. We don't know and it's obvious from the materials handed out and we are being asked to evaluate how this is going to appear to a user of Front Park or a user of the bank. You know, we don't have any tools to evaluate whether your work is in fact being done properly and what the impacts are.

.23

And further, just one point, there's a discrepancy between the slides that were presented today and at least one and again, I received this at 11:45 this morning, the draft finding documentation for preliminary assessment of effect, so I didn't have truthfully time to go through it at all.

But figure 2, the conceptual plan and I think, I don't know whether Clint alluded to it or not, the previous speaker. But if you look at that map, it certainly is denoted as Front Park and the entry way there, it does not jive with the slide, for example, Front Park entrance on page 4 of the handout. The entrance is in two different locations. I'm not sure what the source of the

discrepancy is. Thank you.

MR. STREETT: Comments noted. Thank you. Next person that would like to speak.

MR. HERRERA: Thomas Herrera. I'm the CEO and president of the Buffalo Olmsted Park Conservancy. I'll go through my comments in order. I have jotted a few down.

I don't think it's a historically resource, but I want to comment that the realignment of the Riverwalk, moving the walkway down to the water, that appears to be a real positive impact on the recreational assets. I think that's a good thing.

Overall, the project allows for recapturing historic parkland that's been dedicated to transportation usage for about 60 years and the conservancy has been advocating for the elimination of Baird Drive for a very long time. And so we see this as a very positive impact because it allows us to recapture historic park land, allows us to restore that park land to its original design. And we also feel that it reconnects the park land to the surrounding community. So we see all of those being

positive steps and something that the conservancy has been advocating for quite some time now.

We have looked at the proposed entrance connection at Lakeview as opposed to the original design. We certainly have a strong interest in seeing the original entrance recreated, if possible. We also understand the complexity of making a modern entrance to the park there with the traffic concerns, meeting the needs of modern vehicles to enter the park on a diagonal out of making a five way intersection. So we do think that the proposed driveway might be an adequate solution to get modern vehicles into the park, but we would continue to strive to recreate as much as the original entrance as the pedestrian experience as possible.

We are still waiting to see the impact of the entrance ramp PN on Porter Avenue. Certainly there was no roundabout there historically, but they are used throughout the Olmsted street scape system, including the bank and other places. We want to make sure that the pedestrian connection between Front Park and the South Park is made as strong as possible, whatever solution that is best to further

that goal. I guess reserving judgment on that specific until we know more of what is being proposed. But certainly wider sidewalks on the Porter Avenue Bridge is something that we would advocate for.

Almost done. We feel that overall the project will have a very positive impact on the historic landscape, for the reasons that I have already mentioned and we concur that the negative -- there is no negative impact rather many, many positives to this project on the Front Park land.

MS. PERLA: Carol Perla. I was just reading something with the continuation of the Olmsted plan when they did the roundabout at Kleinhan's and all the other roundabouts. They did it specifically to stop traffic and trucks from coming through. That was the reason for the roundabouts, to stop the trucks.

MR. STREETT: This gentleman all the way in the back.

MR. OBERST: A-l-a-n O-b-e-r-s-t,
Vision Niagara. Working on improvements to Niagara
Street just north of the Peace Bridge area.

Several things, well, these preliminary findings. Some people got them a couple of hours before the meeting. I don't know. I didn't get mine as probably a lot of folks didn't get them. don't think that works. I think what we need to do is we all need the chance to evaluate that in order to give really good quality input, which I'm sure is what you folks want. We have a lot of people here with a lot of good experience with the area. think what we need to do is look at looking at a second Section 106 review meeting after we have had the chance to digest some of this, these presentations. I know it's preliminary findings. think we need to do that and then have a second meeting. I think that is crucial.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I would like to say on the APE boundary. I thought the APE boundary was too narrowly gone. I think what is going to happen with this project is it's going to change to lot of traffic patterns which are going to affect the neighborhoods and, you know, what happens with the traffic in the neighborhoods and where the traffic goes and doesn't go. Are you able to hear me? Is there a microphone

So I

1 Should I be talking into a microphone? 2 just do my best to project that. What happens with 3 the traffic in and amongst the neighborhoods is 4 crucial on neighborhood development and property 5 values and people being able to improve their properties. It does have an affect on the historic 7 character of the neighborhoods and a number of 8 changes are going to be made that are going to affect properties that are outside of the AP. 10 think we need to look at the surrounding 11 neighborhoods, especially a block or two south of 12 Porter. If we are looking at a connection in from 13 Porter, then people may be coming through some of 14 the neighborhoods, that include like Second Street, 15 Seventh Street, south of Porter. So we need to take a look at that. Also, I think we need to expand the 16 17 APE to include up to Hampshire Street, west to the 18 river and east to Niagara Street and then south on 19 Porter as I described. I think that's the only way 20 we can really take into affect the traffic patterns 21 in the neighborhood.

22

23

And this is really crucial; there has been some things that happened with the Peace Bridge just in the last couple of weeks, that have backed up traffic into the neighborhoods. It has created a little bit of gridlock. So what happens at the Peace Bridge definitely affects what happens in the neighborhoods. I know that you folks would want to look at those impacts.

I also think the APE needs to recognize that the pumping station is also historic. And I think what you are doing may affect that access and egress into the pumping station. I think that is something that should be taken into account, the access and the egress and the entire property where the pumping station was.

Most critically if you are talking about doing a ramp, that is going to be up the air, anything up in the air affects the view shed into the park.

I'm not an expert at all with Olmsted parks, but of all Olmsted parks in Buffalo, the view shed here is the most critical future. When it was opened it was basically the most popular place in the city to come and view.

I'm also part of the Riverwalk

23

Revitalization Committee. It's a project that is going on right now to look at improvements to the Riverwalk. I actually did a site visit with some folks from Buffalo Niagara River Keeper. A group that is overseeing those improvements. We went out and walked Sheridan Terrance into the area of the Peace Bridge property. It's a place where hardly anyone goes. This is a group that focuses on the river and they have never been there. We went in and walked in and the view, going in where the terrace originally connected, where the Peace Bridge Plaza is now open to Front Park. The view from the terrace is just -- it's one of a kind. You can see where the lake and the river come together, yes, but you are also elevated and you can actually see the east end of Lake Erie is an abatement. So you can actually see to like the southern portion to where the lake goes down into Cattaraugus and Chautauqua County. It's a place that people need to be able to see and be able to get there. We don't know what the impact of this ramp is going to be on that view shed. I think what needs to be done is like what was done by Erie Canal Harbor Development, when they

were looking at options for bridges in the inner harbor area. They got UB to do 3D modeling for some of the bridge options. Usually there is only a limited range of options. So they looked at some of these options and they modeled them 3D and we have some stakeholder meetings and we looked at these 3D options. I think that is the only way we are going to know what the impacts are to the view shed is by looking at 3D modeling and having some things to look at. So we need to look at the view shed from the park from places like Sheridan Terrace from the bank. Those are all crucial places we need to look into that.

And I think the side benefit that you'll find with that modeling is once you have a 3D modeling, you can also model what the impact is going to be the emissions, you know, when you have vehicles up there on something elevated up in the air with the prevailing winds blowing over the west side. So I'm not sure we can really make a good determination without having that stuff to look at. I think we need to go back to the drawing board a

little bit and look at some other steps in this process. So thank you.

1

3

5

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. STREET: This gentleman here.

MR. WILSON: My name is Jason Wilson and I'm representing Preservation Buffalo Niagara. I'm concerned that there will be several adverse impacts that will arise from the result of the proposed project. Some of these concerns have already been noted, but I will go through them again.

First and foremost the destruction of the historic view shed from Front Park. Historic resource listed under the National Register of Historic Places. The destruction of the proposed I believe it is PN along the southwestern ramp. boundary of Front Park will obstruct the view of Lake Erie the waterfront and the Niagara River. The park was obviously designed by noted landscape architect Frederick Law Olmsted in the early 1870's and it's a key part of the nations first park and parkway system. And one of Front Parks original historic purposes was to showcase the sweeping views and vistas of Lake Erie, waterfront and the Niagara River available from this site. The current

proposed project, as we can tell from the information given to us, will have an adverse impact on the historic resource by obstructing these principle views as originally designed and intended.

I would have to echo Alan's statements regarding having some type of 3D modeling to actually know what the ramps are going to do to the historic view and vista from Front Park.

Second, the destruction of the historic view shed from the historic resources that are directly adjacent to Front Park along the northern boundary along Busti Avenue. The construction and configuration of the proposed ramps along the southwestern boundary of Front Park will obstruct the principle view of the lake, the river front and the Niagara River originally intended for the residents and their properties along the adjacent street along Busti Avenue.

The current configuration of the proposed ramps will force wide load trucks that are prohibited from taking the Thruway to use local streets as they do now, including Busti Avenue. If there is congestion, as there was in the last few

weeks on the bridge, these trucks will be forced to use Busti Avenue and to sit in front of these already identified historic resources and obstruct the historic view shed of the lake, the water front and Niagara River.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Finally the Section 106 process, review process provides an excellent opportunity to review the boundary of the area of potential effect. truly measures the adverse impact of the proposed project. The boundaries of the APE need to be expanded and we have touched on this before with previous speakers.

There are several historic resources in either of the adjacent neighborhoods that are either

currently outside the APE, that provides the historic complex of Front Park and historic resources listed on the National Register of Historic Places and/or historic resources not yet identified in adjacent neighborhoods. Some of those previously stated were the pumping station, the CPO Club, the Buffalo Yacht Club as well as the bank. So I would propose before moving forward with any conclusions to re-examine the APE.

MR. STREETT: Thank you. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MECCA: Does anyone have a berm I can stand on? Kathy Mecca, President, Niagara Gateway Columbus Park Association. These comments I'd like entered into the record on behalf of the Prospect Hill Historic District.

All of the elements, concerns and adverse impact raised by the Prospect Hill Columbus Park Historic District as stated in Section 106 meeting, transcript and written statements from the 2008 through 2011 when the federal highway with these agents in the 2007 proposed Peace Bridge Expansion Project remain unchanged. We're the same communities living adjacent to the second busiest international bridge crossing in the United States. Within the same stretch of the New York State Thruway surrounded by the same nationally protected Olmsted Park built along side of Lake Erie and the Niagara River. Therefore, the collective community is stating the following for the record. In January 2012, the Federal Highways Federal Register published a notice to rescind terminating the EIS process, the 2007 Peace Bridge Expansion Project,

thus terminating their roles as lead agents in the project. And this is a quote from that federal register. "As a result of the comprehensive environmental review and coordination with federal and state resource agencies the community and resource agencies' concerns, relating to historic impact relocation and other environmental impacts, were at this time becoming increasingly paramount." Therefore, it is our belief that the same historic and environmental challenges for shutting down the previous transportation project continues to exist today. None of the presenting issues were resolved because the Section 106 process ended abruptly prior to the federal notice to rescind. Both the federal highway and DOT were involved in the 2007 through 2012 process.

Today we are here because the DOT is the lead agent for a Peace Bridge Transportation Project and co-partnering with federal highway. The same government agent, the same Peace Bridge crossing, the same area of potential effect so how could the outcome be any different.

We object to the report prepared by

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Nathan Montague and Doug Perrelli because it uses the same flawed information from the 2007 report. In 2008 Mr. Perrelli told consulting parties members after a tour of the APE, that its team was advised by DOT not to assess individual properties, buildings or streets, other than what was included in their contract. We have no reason to believe that this isn't happening again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Therefore, we reject the report as an objective document because it is influenced by the contractual relationship between the author and the DOT and does not objectively evaluate the totality of the historic community.

According to the ACHC guidelines to Section 106, agencies should not make obligations to take other actions that would preclude consideration

of the full range of alternatives to avoid or minimize harm to historic properties before Section 106 review is completed. However, the DOT has already issued a letter of interest, designed built services for road and bridge construction to potential bidders. The DOT issued a coordination plan on July 11th outlining on page 6 and 7 a full

accounting of the anticipated timeline for the intended project. On July 26th the DOT identified the range of alternatives prior to even engaging in Section 106 information that most of us here don't have or had a chance to look at.

1

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Only one date was given for Section 106 process which is today. Tomorrow on July 31st, they are holding a conference call with cooperating agencies which include the City of Buffalo and the County of Erie. Part of the participating agency's role is to provide input and the range of alternatives to be considered in this building process. Does the timeline allow the participating agencies enough time to perform their role to provide input on alternatives?

In a letter dated August 10th, 2012, the then PBA chairman Sam Houett received a letter from the New York State Department of DOT Commissioner Joan McDonald that states, quote, "Although the concept of the direct connection ramp appears plausible, there is no funding currently available to cover the estimated cost of 24 to 29 million dollars. What caused the commissioner to

change her position and find the money? What is the pressing need to build this project right now?

This project is not required by the

Department of Homeland Security, the General

Services Administration or Customs and Boarder

Protection. It did not receive board approval from
the PBA yet it is called the New York Gateway

Connections Improvement Project to the Peace Bridge.

The PBA itself is not a lead agent or consulting member to this project. In fact, they submitted a number of concerns outlining the potential negative impact this project could create during peek hours on the U.S. Plaza. The PBA's concern that the egress from the Plaza and Customs Inspection could be disrupted during the construction period. Any disruption to the U.S. Plaza has an adverse impact on the adjacent park lands, local streets and historic community.

The PBA will be implementing their own on Plaza construction projects and renovating the commercial customs building and widening the approach to the bridge. This will be happening simultaneously with the Gateway Project. What

coordination efforts have been made between agencies during the construction phase of two major projects to insure that Front Park, Prospect Hill Historic District and access to the water front will not be adversely impacted.

Governor Cuomo, and other elected officials, have made public statements that the state will be involved in expanding the U.S. Plaza. The New York State Empire State Development Corporation has purchased the Episcopal Church Home, the three point seven acre parcel of land, for future use for the Peace Bridge.

The ESD has issued an RSP for demolition of all the buildings on the property except for the landmark Gordon home and the Hutchinson Chapel.

The pending use of the property continues to be shrouded in secrecy. The demolition at the Episcopal Church Home will be happening at the same time as the Peace Bridge renovation, the widening of the bridge entrance and the Gateway Connections Project. Front Park and Prospect Hill will be surrounded by construction projects on all

fronts.

Governor Cuomo said in 2012, that the state would take Busti Avenue for the Peace Bridge expansion, but no plans have been public. No one knows why the state wants Busti Avenue.

The Gateway Connections Project and the Peace Bridge Plaza Renovation Project and the purchase of the Episcopal Church Home are being identified as separate independent projects from one another, while they all have one common goal; facilitating traffic entering and leaving the Peace Bridge. Therefore, the collective community considers all of these projects to be interconnected requiring one scoping process, one environmental review underneath the seeker and federal transportation law 4F. Failing to do so constitutes segmentation which violates State Supreme Court ruling.

The DOT and the Federal Highway has failed to take into consideration Olmsted's previous position on Peace Bridge projects for the removal of Baird Drive. Specifically for public statements made by Olmsted in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011

opposing any additional Peace Bridge projects that required the use of any public park or historic site, unless all feasible alternatives are explored.

In the 2010 legal brief Olmsted criticized the Federal Highway for failing to address key issues pertaining to the impact of the 2007 Peace Bridge Expansion Project on Front Park and surrounding Prospect Hill neighborhoods. Sorry. I have asthma.

Specifically the removal of Baird Drive, as part of the broader proposal to reconfigure traffic patterns relating to the Peace Bridge constitutes a direct quote "use" of the park itself. And such removal without associated restoration of a historic park wing on which Baird Drive is situated, quote "undisputedly constitutes a direct use of park land with noise, air pollution and general unsightliness." Such destructive uses are clearly present at Front Park.

The community is at a loss to explain why DOT and the Federal Highway would intentionally ignore Olmsted's position and negotiate an agreement with them to take Baird Drive out of Front Park when

the historic park is owned by the city of Buffalo and not Olmsted.

The Community would like to know what involvement the City of Buffalo has had in this agreement to remove Baird Drive from a nationally registered park. It is our belief that such an act would require an involvement from the Buffalo Common Council.

What weight was given to the legal ground identified in the 2010 brief before the State decided to take Baird Drive out of Front Park. What is the plan and funding to fully restore the park, remove all noise and air pollution and general unsightliness as a result of the change of traffic patterns and elevated ramps that will surround the front.

DOT and Federal Highway should be able to demonstrate the benefits the ramp road connection project will bring to users of Front Park and the adjacent Prospect Hill neighborhood had and its residents before concluding section 106 or moving forward with the project.

And lastly, why did the DOT and the

Federal Highway ignore, on November 29th, 2007 EPA report which states that other alternatives to building additional infrastructure to Peace Bridge crossing should be explored. Specifically routing all truck traffic to the Lewiston-Queenston Bridge.

1.5

Also ignored were two City of Buffalo common council resolutions calling for the merger from the Public Bridge Authority and the Niagara Falls Bridge Commission and to evaluate removing the commercial truck traffic off the Peace Bridge.

Report calling for a need to further study merging with the two bridge authorities. And in 2012 the EPA designated Buffalo's impoverished lower west side a community of environmental justice because of the disproportionate volume of diesel exhaust coming from heavy commercial traffic. The DOT has chosen to ignore the EPA findings. Two letters from the residents of Prospect Hill Historic District, rejecting and objecting any transportation projects leading to the Peace Bridge without first conducting the study to move the commercial trucks off of the Peace Bridge. And two reports issued by the

Partnership for Public Good and its 100

participating members converged the Bridge Authority

to move the commercial trucks off of the Peace

Bridge.

It is incumbent upon our government agencies to embrace this information and not dismiss it before spending taxpayer dollars. Thank you.

MR. ROBINSON: Terry Robinson,

Preservation Buffalo Niagara. I obviously have very

little to add to Kathy's comprehensive statement.

And really I kind of echo most of the statements

that have already been made.

made, and I think it can't be over emphasized, is that this project is not another off ramp on a state highway along some nondescript area. That this is in many ways the probably second most significant scenic resource within the region. I would say second only to perhaps Niagara Falls, but it's linkage to Niagara Falls is obviously inextricable. It is a component of the Olmsted plan and the Olmsted's plan for the reservations at Niagara Falls. He obviously complimented it with his -- at

the source -- at the source of the Niagara River 1 2 there in that view scape. It is of incomparable value, the scenic resource that is there. 3 and I'm kind of at a loss to explain at how it can

manner. 6

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The two most important words to preservation to history are prospective and context. And to remove -- to attempt to remove this resource completely from its context, its relationship to the surrounding neighborhoods and its historic resources within that vicinity is making a mockery of all of the 106, seeker, coastal zone management and other processes that have been put in place to protect just such resources. So I would really recommend that everyone take just a step back and reevaluate and say does this serve the purpose of what these regulatory guidelines give us? Does it protect the scenic resources? Does it protect the historic resources? There is always going to be a balance between the economic and environmental whatever benefits. But are we weighing those accurately? Are we weighing them honestly?

be attempted to be compartmentalized in so narrow a

The leak to the no finding, without even a mention of policies 23 and 24 of the Coastal Zone Management. Policy 23 to protect, enhance and restore structures, districts, areas or sites that are significant in the history, architecture, archeology or culture of the state, its communities or the nation, and the wonderful explanation that is given there, I think not. And also prevent impairment under policy 24 of scenic resources of state wide significance.

In the late 60's what was it? If you're not part of the solution, you're part of the problem. So even if it does not necessarily erect some sort of new and penetrable barrier. Does it reenforce? Does it act to mitigate destructive actions that have already occurred.

The destruction of Olmsted's -- well,
Olmsted's are scenic view scapes from the Old Fort
Porter in the front are almost exclusively the
product of two agencies; the Public Bridge Authority
and the New York State Department Of Transportation
historically in placing the Thruway there and the
bridge. They are there. It's fact, but the

question is does every action we take reinforce and/or aggravate those past actions or does it in some way mitigate or move forward to restore and enhance what exists there right now? I think that that sort of context is really for us as preservationists, as citizens of the city of Buffalo, what we would like to see. We would like to see the best plan, given whatever existing parameters exist. We still require the best plan that New York State, DOT, FHWA, PBA can come up How this can be segmented from the other with. improvements and/or proposals, including the State and National Register eligible Peace Bridge is beyond me. I mean, I see no mention of it even though it's obviously the elephant in the room.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In terms of the integrity of certain things like the previous studies would seem to be driven to arrive at this no effect. I'm at a loss to explain how it can completely omit any mention of the significance of what is essentially the river crossing, the ferry before the bridge, the bridge, river crossing and its significance in terms of African-American history is incredible. The

Italian-American history in the region over a period of roughly a century, with absolutely no mention and no archeological effects and no presence in an attempt to set the setting even of the historical statement of the significance of the area, once again to me is incomprehensible. To completely omit the ethic cultural significance in the area and the historical significance within that perimeter of roughly a couple miles, the building of -- well, the capture of the boats on the Fort Erie side, the resetting of them at the old Navy yard and the launching of Perry's Fleet from that vicinity, complete omission of that and to concede that there could be no linkage; that's the part to me as I lose is the no linkage.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You can not approach this thing in so issues that seem to me to be fatal to a legitimate

narrow a way with so defined a scope to attempt to achieve a traffic solution with all of the attended things that that brings on the neighborhood. not even getting into the traffic. We not getting into the environment. We are not getting into the process issues here. Other than those process

106 analysis of this project. To constrict them in this way is really a disservice to everyone and briefly that's it.

MR. STREETT: Yes. In the back.

MS. DETINE: Hi, I'm Linda DeTine. I'm just a neighborhood resident and member of Columbus Park Association. We all live over in Prospect Hill.

First of all, we just want to say, you can ignore those Prospect Hill historic district boundaries in your materials because that's somebody's idea of what Prospect Hill should look like, but it's not the residents' idea and those boundaries were never formally adopted or agreed to. We think our district is a lot larger than that.

I do concur with Preservation Buffalo
Niagara's comments, Clint Brown's comments and Kathy
Mecca for the neighborhood.

In addition, to needing -- to those comments. In addition, we need not just visible view sheds in other to evaluate, but also data on the noise impact. For example, a roundabout is likely to create more noise than not having a

.2

roundabout. So you might be moving some noise away from -- closer to Busti, but increasing the noise down for the users of the park. So we would need to see what's going to happen noise wise from changing -- as Terry was talking about, those traffic patterns.

Also, we do want to object to the fact that apparently Olmsted Conservancy was given the benefit to come in earlier in the process and actually have input on the various options that might have been discarded or considered. are looking at now appears to be something that one organization was invited to weigh in on. residents are not part of that organization. don't know if anybody that got to weigh in lives even in the neighborhood. No offense, Thomas, but their executive director lives in Orchard Park. think it's against the spirit, if not the letter of the law, to invite one not for profit in at such an early stage and have so powerful of a voice before you have consulted the residents who are actually going to live with this.

I also want to point out and object

23

1

2

because the project as a whole further imbeds the notion that we are going to have an expressway, a high speed expressway between the park and the water. Buffalo's comprehensive plan I believe still has proposals to drop this down to a parkway. So any dollar we spend, further intrenching this as an expressway site, puts the idea of a parkway instead into further impossibilities. The last time the citizens, not the Olmsted Conservancy, but the citizens were pulled as part of the green code, the citizens don't want the 109. The citizens want a parkway.

I also want to point out that Olmsted himself was hired by the City to bring the park down to where the yacht club and the rowing club and so forth to have the parkway go right to the water's edge. And again, this plan further cuts off the neighborhood and the users of the park from the water, but also creates an impossibility that Olmsted's plan, that Buffalo at one point supported, is never going to become a reality. Again, every time we put more infrastructure in here, we are creating so many barricades we will never get back

1 what the citizens want.

You know, what we have right now, if you do this, Oliver Perry in his statute is not going to be looking at the lake, he is going to be bravely gazing out at a ramp. I just don't think that's what Olmsted intended. I think that is it. Let me just check. Yes, that's it. Thank you.

MR. STREETT: I just want to give the opportunity to anybody on the phone. Do you have any comments that you would want to offer?

MS. WARBIDSKI: This is Tanya. Not at this time. I think many of the people who spoke regarding the limitations are opposed by the -- what is drawn on the map as the APE are speaking very clearly, very forcefully to that point and the Preservation League will take all of that into account. And we will certainly avail ourselves the opportunity to provide written comments by the deadline of August 30th. This has been a very, very informative session.

MR. STREETT: We are not done yet,
Tanya. I didn't want to give you the false
impression yet.

MS. WARBINSKI: No, no, that's our 1 2 intention. 3 MR. STREETT: Okay, all right. Thank you. Anyone else? 4 5 MR. ROBINSON: I have a question, just one question. This project seems to have -- come 6 7 from the previous, you know, 11 year process and there are some reference within the materials to the 8 previous 180 studies and that sort of thing. 9 haven't been able to draw the 5753.8 project number 10 on either the DOT or FHWA sites, which I suppose is 11 12 where that material is. If they spent 10, 11 years doing a lot of work there, I would think that would 13 be kind of -- I know it would be valuable to me to 14 be able to access a lot of that prior work on the 15 16 historical resources. MR. HITT: You're talking about project 17 information for the old project, not this project, 18 19 right? 20 MR. ROBINSON: Right. MR. HITT: We can look it up and we can 21 22 make it available if it applies to this project, but when we asked UB to update the study, we just 23

1	referred to the information that was needed for this
2	project.
3	MR. ROBINSON: You know, there were the
4	filters there that said planned, complete, in
5	progress. I was looking under the complete and
6	but I don't know how long you archived the projects,
7	but I guess I can get a link to that stuff.
8	MR. HITT: We will pull it up and we'll
9	see what it is and we'll make it available.
10	(Break in the proceedings.)
11	MR. STREETT: Can we get started here?
12	I guess we are getting close to wrapping up. We
13	want to make sure that everyone has had an
14	opportunity. Do we have any additional comments
15	that that you would like to provide?
16	MR. OBERST: I have some additional
17	comments.
18	MR. STREET: Would you mind coming up?
19	Just state your name again.
20	MR. OBERST: No.
21	MR. STREET: Just state your name again.
22	MR. OBERST: Yes. It's Alan Oberst with
23	the Vision Niagara Group.

I did have something else that was important to say heritage related. The Vision Niagara Group is working on improvements to the old industrial section of Niagara Street that has like old industrial character, kind of between Busti and the Scajaquada north of the Peace Bridge area. There is a lot of industrial heritage there, just north from the Peace Bridge.

master plan for that area that would include some of the business stakeholders like Rich Products. And in the course of that master planning work, we have been looking a lot at the heritage in that area. Some of it is the War of 1812 heritage. Real early Buffalo stuff. In fact, there are structures there that are among some of the earliest built structures remaining in the city of Buffalo, but they were part of the original Village of Black Rock. And also the industrial heritage is key because it was along the canal and the railroad belt line. So you had things like Curtis Aircraft, early automotive industry there. Some very important things.

One of the things that we have been

looking at for our master plan, and we had some preliminary work that was done by some UB students, one of their studio classes, is a heritage trail or an industrial heritage trail that would actually be at the foot of the bank, between the foot of the bank and the railroad tracks. It's something that you might consider a rails width trails. The rails width trails concept is recognized in transportation planning. FHWA has specific guidelines. DOT have the guidelines for rail width trail projects. And we have actually done a couple of site visits to look at this.

Now, there is some very important historic features that are down there, including old connections between these industrial facilities and the canal and the railroad, but also what's down there is the remnants of the original Black Rock. You know the thing that so many geographic place names in Buffalo come from Black Rock. Well, the original Black Rock is there at the foot of the bank near the foot of Hampshire Street. So we have been looking at doing a trail that would also give people access to this very important historic site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

with the project's area that you all are looking at, and the boundaries that you have shown us, is you're looking at reconfiguring the Riverwalk and potentially moving the pedestrian bridge. Well, in order to get down to the area where we would be looking at footing the trail, we have to have a way to get people down there from the existing Riverwalk. What we have looked at today indicates the most feasible place to do that is where the current pedestrian bridge is because it would allow you to put a ramp that would get people down to where that trail might be in the future. So I think as you all do this work and look at moving the pedestrian bridge, for example, we need to at least be cognizant of that potential opportunity for that heritage trail, that historic trail, and how that can be connected up to the rest of the Riverwalk. Even if it doesn't exist yet, you know, either have a ramp to it or just design whatever is there in such a way that that connection could be added in the future. So, you know, to the degree to which you would want to look at, you know, what the

Now, the reason this ties in so much

heritage elements are a little bit north of there, you know, just so that people understand the future significance of that connection, you know, that would help justify putting into the project whatever could be put into the project along those lines. So I hope drawing some of those connections makes sense to you all.

And the other thing that I just wanted to say about the project in general and has to do with segmentation and we know that there has been talk about, you know, the city selling Busti out. We know that there was work done earlier this year to demolish historic homes. There was pushback against that. There were lawsuits, but they went ahead and did it anyway. And that's just -- they had a very important purpose for wanting to take those homes down. We don't know what that purpose was or is, but we know there was some purpose there. So I think this project, without knowing what's in line there, we don't know to what degree this project and things that you're looking at doing here could be a prelude or a precursor or a prerequisite to other things that are being considered for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

whatever the reason is Busti Avenue is going to be shut down. Whatever is going to be built where those historic homes were demolished. So what looks like to me, without knowing those other things and putting those into the mix, this does look like segmentation because you can't look at all the discussion about Busti and the demolitions that were done there without saying, obviously, there is some intention there. And, you know, you can't look at the rest of the things that are being discussed here without saying, well, there may be some connection between these projects and what's intended for whatever is going to happen there at Busti Avenue.

So I think all of these things need to be considered. I don't -- it just doesn't make sense on the face of it to look at these things that we are talking about today in isolation from those other things that might happen in the future. So it does look like a potential case of segmentation and I think that is against the spirit and the letter of the law and the regulations. Thank you.

MS. MECCA: Kathy Mecca from Columbus Parkway. Will we be receiving a copy of the

transcript?

1.0

MR. STREETT: Yes. We'll be covering that in a minute. How about additional input from anyone? Anyone on the phone that would like additional comments? It sounds like somebody is on the phone there. So I guess — okay. I guess what maybe we will do is start the closeout here. We do have some additional information to provide you.

We do want to clarify the process here though because I heard a couple of references to the process ending today or this is the last chance you get to talk. This is the beginning of this process, from my prospect, the 106 process. There is a 30 day -- is that correct, Dan?

MR. HITT: Yes.

MR. STREETT: Thirty day comment period on the process on the effects that were being discussed and, Dan, do you have additional things that you want to mention?

MR. HITT: Well, the effect finding that went out yesterday, was only -- we sent it to everybody because we didn't want to miss anybody because we know people weren't going to make it

1 today, but the intent was it would be handed out 2 today and then you have until August 30th to review 3 and comment. So it wasn't meant to get it yesterday 4 and review by today. It was just, we sent it to 5 everybody so we didn't have to try and figure out who made it and who didn't make it and all that. 6 7 MR. STREETT: So you have 30 days to 8 review all this information and provide feedback to 9 Actually there is no attempt here to cut you 10 off and this will be your only chance for input. MS. PERLA: Carole Perla, Columbus 11 12 Parkway. Are you planning another meeting that we 13 can continue this? 14 MR. HITT: We haven't decided yet 15 whether we are having another meeting yet or not. 16 MS. PERLA: What happens to our comments 17 then? 18 MR. HITT: The comments you made today 19 you will receive -- all the consulting parties will 20 receive a copy of the transcript. We will consider 21 all the comments that have been made, both in the 22 next step of the effect -- you know, with wrapping 23 up the effect determination as well as what we do in

preparing the DEIS. Now, if there are changes with the project between now and the end of the August we'll have to --

MS. PERLA: There seem to be so many changes with not a roundabout, maybe a roundabout.

MR. STREETT: That will not be decided during this phase of the project. Those are options that we will carry forward into the DEIS. We need additional research analysts analyzing the different factors to see which one serves the best purpose in the project.

MS. MECCA: Hi, Kathy Mecca again. I know you say that we have until August 30th, but myself and others that are sitting here at this table, we are just ordinary citizens. We don't work for the government. We don't work for an agency. So when we receive after the fact the draft findings of the preliminary assessment and its effects, then if I'm going to respond to that and not have another section 106 meeting then I basically am looking at this information and responding to it in a vacuum by myself without having any dialogue with the Federal Highway or the DOT. So giving us an opportunity to

1	look at this information, digest this information
2	and come back to another meeting to interact with
3	you and have and get an open dialogue about this
4	document certainly is reasonable, is a reasonable
5	request.
6	MR. HITT: We'll consider the comments
7	and we will decide when we get to that point.
8	MR. STREETT: In consultation with FHWA,
9	who has oversight over the DOT.
10	MR. HITT: We were not deciding today if
11	we are having another meeting or not. We will
12	consider the comments made. We will discuss it with
13	the Federal Highway as well as the council of SHPO
14	and then we will decide what the next step is.
15	MR. CERTO: Peter Joseph Certo. So if
16	there is no additional meetings, our next
17	opportunity to comment would be the public hearing
18	after the DEIS?
19	MR. HITT: If that scenario plays out,
20	yes.
21	MR. STREETT: Question in the back?
22	MR. OBERST: Alan Oberst. I'm asking if
23	there were people here today from ACHP?

1	MS. LEGARD: Carol Legard from ACHP.
2	MR. STREETT: For those on the phone if
3	you didn't hear, Dan had indicated that the
4	transcript will be sent out to all consulting
5	parties. Any other questions, comments?
6	MS. WARBIDSKI: The only comment I have
7	at this time, this is Tanya, is the meantime the
8	mailing that you spoke of did arrive.
9	MR. STREETT: Thank you for confirming
10	that.
11	MS. WARBIDSKI: I'm very glad it's here.
12	It sure is a lot more interesting.
13	MR. STREETT: So you should have the
14	complete package of everything that was presented
15	earlier.
16	MS. WARBIDSKI: I had the earlier
17	package, but the one I guess that was the overnight
18	just came.
19	MR. STREETT: Okay.
20	MS. LEGARD: Carol Legard again ACHP. I
21	just would like to I guess I'm hoping that by way
22	of coordinating your EPA and 106 that there can be
23	sort of a feedback loop where the information you

take in from consulting parties factors in -- gets worked into the alternatives in the DEIS and also these folks get a chance after the DEIS comes out, to have that information to help address the concerns that they have been raising about visuals, noise impacts, traffic changes. I mean, is that DEIS going to provide some of the information that might address some of those issues that were made?

MR. STREETT: Absolutely, yes. One of the things that has happens in the DEIS, I'm sure you're aware, is of from the scoping meeting and from the scoping report you get input from the public, you hear what their concerns are and you hear suggestions of how to help the public understand what the project is trying to present. The request for visual -- ways to visualize what is being built, the ramps. Are the ramps a 100 feet up in the air or are the ramps down closer to the ground. To provide that kind of information to you, I don't know how we can do a visualization, but we will try to come up with some way to project that and to present it to the public so you have a better visualization of what is being presented. So part

of the input of this today is hearing what your concerns are and having those addressed as part of the DEIS. That is a very important aspect.

Anything else? Then given no more comments I believe we are --

MS. WARBIDSKI: This is Tanya from the Preservation League. There has been some comments made about the obvious topic that is out there which is the larger Peace Bridge project. Let me ask a very simple, maybe simpleminded question. Would we be talking about this project were it not for the larger plaza, Peace Bridge project that has been the subject of years of discussion, planning, design?

MR. STREETT: Well, this project has independent utilities, so from the perspective that is serves a purpose of providing direct transportation link to I-90 northbound. So it, in and of itself, has independent utility and creates its own purpose, within its own confines from the plaza to I-90 northbound. So, yes, I think there is a reason to be able to -- for this project to move forward by itself. Does that answer your question?

MS. WARBIDSKI: Well, it makes me wonder

about the scheduling of everything from end of review to construction. It's just hard to imagine that a project with this proximity to that larger project and overall the big picture is moving traffic, that there isn't a linkage and, therefore, if there is a linkage, it would beg the question of segmentation and that has been brought up by some others.

MR. STREETT: Okay. I guess I would suggest that maybe additional information can be provided in the DEIS to help elevate those concerns.

MS. WARBIDSKI: Anymore information, any greater clarify would help, but it's hard -- it begs the question of, gee, just a coincidence? That's a little bit tough to understand at this point. Thank you.

MR. STREETT: All right. I asked before any other comments or questions? All right. I guess we are ready to close out. I thank everybody for coming today and we hope in the next week or so we should be publishing a sloping report.

MS. WARBIDSKI: Thank you for the opportunity to be on the phone. It's a big help.

```
1
 2
 3
 5
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

1	STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF ERIE
3	I, Susan Capobianco, a Notary Public in and
4	for the State of New York.
5	Present at the New York Gateway Connections
6	Improvement Project to the U.S. Peace Bridge Plaza,
7	Section 106 Consulting Party Meeting, on Tuesday, July
8	30th, 2013, that said hearing was taken down before me
9	at said time and place herein set forth. Said hearing
10	minutes were taken down in shorthand by me and
11	thereafter under my supervision transcribed into the
12	English language.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
14	subscribed my name this 30th day of July, 2013.
15	
16	
17	
18	Susan Capobianco Notary Public, State of New York
19	Notary rubire, state or New York
20	
21	
22	
23	

				ī
1 1	<b>29th</b> [1] - 71:3	abruptly [1] - 63:15	94:4	90:18
		absence [1] - 38:18	adds [1] - 3:15	agency's [1] - 65:12
<b>10</b> [2] - 32:21, 81:14	3	absolutely [2] - 76:4,	adequate [1] - 52:14	agenda [2] - 3:18,
<b>100</b> [3] - 1:6, 72:3,		93:11	adjacent [9] - 29:16,	48:18
93:19	<b>30</b> [4] - 27:17, 28:17,	abutment [2] - 15:18,	36:17, 60:13, 60:19,	agent [3] - 63:20,
<b>106</b> [37] - 1:4, 3:19,	88:15, 89:9	15:19	61:16, 61:21, 62:16,	63:22, 66:11
3:22, 17:11, 17:18,	<b>30th</b> [6] - 1:7, 80:21,	access [14] - 8:6,	66:19, 70:22	agents [2] - 62:14,
18:8, 18:20, 18:23,	89:4, 90:15, 97:8, 97:14	8:8, 8:11, 10:13, 12:8,	adjust [1] - 34:6	63:3
19:17, 19:19, 19:20,		32:7, 36:20, 40:19,	Administration [1] -	aggravate [1] - 75:4
20:6, 21:16, 21:17,	<b>31st</b> [1] - 65:9 <b>350</b> [1] - 1:19	47:16, 56:11, 56:1 <b>4</b> ,	66:7	<b>ago</b> [4] - 8:4, 13:13,
21:23, 22:1, 22:8, 22:19, 30:8, 39:4,	<b>36</b> [2] - 18:18, 28:23	67:6, 81:17, 85:2 accessing [1] - 9:4	adopted [1] - 77:16	33:21, 34:2
48:19, 54:13, 61:8,	<b>3D</b> [6] - 58:4, 58:7,	accessing [1] - 9.4	Adversary [1] - 19:12 adverse [38] - 2:18,	<b>agreed</b> [2] - 30:6, 77:16
62:11, 63:15, 64:17,	58:8, 58:11, 58:18,	19:1	21:19, 26:23, 27:1,	agreement [4] - 30:5,
64:21, 65:6, 65:8,	60:8	accompanied [1] -	27:3, 27:5, 27:6, 27:7,	38:20, 70:1, 70:7
70:23, 73:15, 77:3,	3rd [1] - 34:3	21:14	27:14, 27:15, 27:16,	ahead [3] - 11:1,
88:15, 90:22, 93:1,		accomplish [1] -	27:21, 27:22, 28:3,	34:13, 86:17
97:7	4	8:14	28:11, 28:19, 28:20,	air [6] - 56:17, 56:18,
<b>109</b> [1] - 79:13		accordance [1] -	28:22, 29:1, 29:5,	58:22, 69:19, 70:15,
<b>10th</b> [1] - 65:18	<b>4</b> [2] - 29:4, 50:23	39:4	29:8, 29:17, 29:20,	93:20
<b>11</b> [2] - 81:9, 81:14	<b>40</b> [1] - 1:19	according [1] - 64:16	30:2, 30:7, 35:18,	Aircraft [1] - 83:23
<b>110</b> [1] - 33:1	<b>4F</b> [1] - 68:18	account [3] - 18:10,	35:22, 37:12, 37:20,	<b>ALAN</b> [1] - 53:23
<b>11:45</b> [1] - 50:15		56:13, 80:19	38:19, 38:22, 59:8,	<b>Alan</b> [2] - 83:1, 92:1
11th [2] - 22:15, 65:2	5	accounting [1] - 65:3	60:4, 61:11, 62:10,	<b>Alan's</b> [1] - 60:7
<b>12232</b> [1] - 1:16	<b>5</b> [4] - 6:22, 7:1,	accurately [1] - 74:1	66:19	Albany [1] - 1:16
12th [1] - 42:12	10:18, 17:16	accused [1] - 18:1	adversely [1] - 67:7	<b>allow</b> [2] - 65:15,
<b>14202</b> [1] - 1:19	<b>50</b> [4] - 1:16, 24:17,	ACHC [1] - 64:16	advised [1] - 64:6 advisory [1] - 20:5	85:13
<b>15</b> [1] - 48:14 <b>180</b> [1] - 81:11	24:18, 24:20	achieve [1] - 76:20	Advisory [1] - 20.5 Advisory [2] - 4:12,	<b>allows</b> [3] - 51:16,
1812 [1] - 83:16	<b>5753.8</b> [1] - 81:12	<b>ACHP</b> [4] - 18:15, 92:2, 92:3, 92:22	18:13	51:21, 51:22 alluded [1] - 50:19
<b>1870's</b> [1] - 59:20	5th [1] - 34:12	92:2, 92:3, 92:22 acknowledged [1] -	advocate [1] - 53:7	alluded [1] - 50: 19 almost [2] - 11:22,
<b>1871</b> [1] - 49:11		45:5	advocated [1] - 46:1	74:21
<b>1897</b> [1] - 18:5	6	acre [1] - 67:13	advocating [2] -	Almost [1] - 53:8
<b>190</b> [3] - 32:6, 40:10,	0 40.7.05.0	act [2] - 70:8, 74:17	51:19, 52:4	alter[3] - 36:1,
40:15	<b>6</b> [2] - <b>4</b> 6:7, 65:2	Act [1] - 18:9	aegis [1] - 44:4	36:10, 37:8
1:00 [1] - 1:7	<b>60</b> [1] - 51:18	action [2] - 19:20,	aerial [3] - 10:2,	alteration [1] - 26:14
	<b>60's</b> [1] - 74:13	75:3	10:8, 12:3	alterations [2] - 23:1,
2	<b>6E41</b> [1] - 1:15	actions [5] - 19:4,	affect [13] - 12:5,	31:22
	7	31:1, 64:18, 74:18,	18:11, 19:8, 20:3,	altered [2] - 29:19,
<b>2</b> [2] - 22:20, 50:18	I	75:4	22:6, 26:20, 29:7,	38:6
<b>20</b> [1] - 47:1	<b>7</b> [2] - 46:7, 65:2	activity [2] - 36:16,	50:3, 54:22, 55:8,	alternatives [9] -
<b>200</b> [1] - 29:9		39:6	55:11, 55:22, 56:11	17:9, 34:5, 64:19,
<b>2007</b> [6] - 62:14, 63:2, 63:17, 64:4,	8	actual [3] - 2:5, 2:15,	affected [3],- 23:21, 26:18, 27:2	65:5, 65:14, 65:17,
69:9, 71:3		29:22	affective [1] - 27:23	69:5, 71:4, 93:4
<b>2008</b> [3] - 62:13,	<b>8</b> [1] <b>- 4</b> 6:7	add [1] - 72:12	affects [4] - 19:10,	alters [1] - 27:7
64:5, 69:2	<b>800</b> [2] - 18:18, 28:23	<b>added</b> [2] - 2:9, 85:23	20:9, 56:6, 56:18	America [1] - 48:13 American [2] - 76:2,
<b>2009</b> [1] - 69:2	^	addition [2] - 77:21,	<b>African</b> [1] - 76:2	76:3
<b>2010</b> [4] - 47:19,	9	77:22	African-American	amount [1] - 49:5
69:2, 69:6, 70:12	<b>9/11</b> [1] - 24:19	additional [15] - 2:8,	[1] - 76:2	amounts [1] - 38:5
<b>2011</b> [2] - 62:13, 69:2	WII [I] - 27.10	3:16, 29:1, 29:23,	afternoon [4] - 2:1,	analysis [2] - 31:2,
<b>2012</b> [6] - 62:23,	Α	69:3, 71:5, 82:16,	30:19, 30:23, 31:6	77:3
63:18, 65:18, 68:4,		82:18, 88:5, 88:7,	age [1] - 24:16	analysts [1] - 90:11
71:13, 71:15	abatement [1] -	88:10, 88:20, 90:11,	agencies [10] -	analyze [1] - 20:2
<b>2013</b> [2] - 97:8, 97:14	57:18	91:18, 95:12	18:10, 18:19, 20:23,	analyzed [1] - 39:8
<b>23</b> [2] - 74:4, 74:5	ability [1] - 25:16	additions [1] - 38:23	63:7, 64:17, 65:11,	analyzing [1] - 90:11
<b>24</b> [3] - 66:1, 74:4,	<b>able</b> [10] - 17:17,	<b>address</b> [6] - 8:5,	65:16, 67:3, 72:8,	Andy [2] - 4:7, 6:1
74:11	41:11, 55:2, 55:7,	8:10, 48:1, 69:8, 93:6,	74:22	Anglia [1] - 6:16
26th [1] - 65:4	57:21, 57:22, 70:19,	93:10	agencies' [1] - 63:8	Anker[1] - 4:16
<b>29</b> [1] - 66:1	81:12, 81:17, 94:23	addressed [2] - 39:4,	agency [2] - 21:8,	ANKER [1] - 4:16
	ACR o	f Western New	York	
	11010	/71() 2(2 0020		

answer [1] - 95:1 Anthropology [1] anticipate [1] - 17:3 anticipated [1] - 65:3 anyway [2] - 46:18, 86:17 AP [4] - 23:4, 23:6, 30:17, 55:11 APE [33] - 23:8, 23:13, 23:18, 23:22, 24:3, 25:20, 25:23, 26:4, 28:6, 31:8, 31:11, 31:23, 32:13, 33:20, 34:3, 34:10, 34:12, 34:15, 45:4, 45:19, 45:20, 49:10, 49:14, 54:18, 54:19, 55:19, 56:9, 58:15, 61:12, 61:17, 62:2, 64:6, 80:16 appear [1] - 50:8 applies [2] - 22:2, 82:1 apply [1] - 27:15 appointed [1] - 44:6 appraised [1] - 34:8 approach [2] - 67:1, 76:18 appropriate [2] -3:19, 33:8 approval [1] - 66:8 approvals [1] - 19:5 approve [1] - 19:19 approved [1] - 21:7 April [1] - 17:5 Archaeological [1] -42:16 archaeological [2] -38:2. 39:1 archeological [9] -31:13, 33:13, 35:9, 35:22, 37:22, 38:8, 38:15, 39:5, 76:5 Archeological [2] -5:12, 7:3 Archeologist [1] archeology [1] - 74:8 architect [2] - 5:8, 59:20 architectural [1] -31:10 Architecture [3] -6:10, 6:12, 47:11 architecture [1] archived [1] - 82:8 area [61] - 9:22, 9:23,

11:17, 12:3, 12:14,

13:5, 13:12, 13:20, 13:23, 14:3, 14:13, 14:18, 22:21, 22:22, 22:23, 31:14, 31:15, 31:17, 31:20, 32:18, 32:22, 33:11, 33:14, 33:15, 33:21, 33:22, 34:18, 35:1, 35:3, 35:10, 35:17, 37:16, 38:3, 38:6, 39:8, 39:9, 39:11, 40:12, 41:4, 41:5, 41:6, 41:15, 41:19, 42:14, 44:10, 44:22, 54:2, 54:11, 57:8, 58:4, 61:10, 63:23, 72:18, 76:7, 76:9, 83:8, 83:12, 83:15, 85:4, 85:8 areas [7] - 31:7, 31:9, 33:16, 34:19, 34:21, 47:12, 74:6 arise [1] - 59:9 arrange [1] - 15:2 arrive [2] - 75:20, 92:10 arrow [1] - 10:7 articulate [3] - 2:14, 3:2, 21:13 aspect [1] - 94:5 aspects [1] - 33:19 assess [1] - 64:7 assessing [2] -17:21, 21:18 assessment [4] -28:1, 30:15, 50:16, assets [1] - 51:14 assist [1] - 20:6 assistance [2] -20:16, 20:17 Assistant [2] - 1:15, associated [4] -27:5, 37:19, 45:18, 69:16 Association [4] -5:17, 5:23, 62:6, 77:9 association [2] -27:13, 36:8 asthma [1] - 69:11 atmosphere [1] -2:22 attempt [6] - 3:8, 39:21, 73:11, 76:6, 76:19, 89:11 attempted [1] - 73:7 attended [1] - 76:20 attention [1] - 35:13 auditory [1] - 37:18

65:18, 80:21, 89:4, 90:4, 90:15 author[1] - 64:13 authorities [1] -71:15 Authority [8] - 15:9, 15:10, 44:14, 47:20, 48:12, 71:10, 72:4, 74:22 automotive [1] -83:23 avail [1] - 80:19 available [4] - 60:2, 66:1, 82:1, 82:11 Avenue [31] - 9:5, 9:9, 9:19, 9:21, 12:20, 13:21, 14:4, 14:10, 15:5, 15:11, 16:3, 32:9, 32:11, 33:17, 35:7, 36:10, 36:19, 37:3, 37:4, 44:22, 45:23, 52:19, 53:6, 60:14, 60:20, 61:1, 61:4, 68:5, 68:7, 87:3, 87:15 avoid [7] - 2:17, 13:21, 19:9, 20:8, 28:12, 30:1, 64:19 aware [2] - 48:12, 93:13

В backed [1] ~ 56:3 background [1] -3:16 **Baird** [17] - 8:13, 9:15, 9:16, 13:10, 13:14, 32:9, 36:13, 37:14, 40:22, 51:20, 69:1, 69:12, 69:17, 70:2, 70:7, 70:13 balance [1] - 73:22 bank [11] - 40:15, 49:13, 49:14, 49:20, 50:9, 52:22, 58:14, 61:23, 84:7, 84:8, 84:22 bar [1] - 3:15 Barge [2] - 44:12, 44:19 barricades [1] - 80:2 barrier [1] - 74:16 based [3] - 21:8, 26:6, 26:7 basic [1] - 33:16 basis [1] - 35:21 Baus [2] - 49:12, 49:19

79.23 85:5 boundary [7] becomes [2] - 30:7, 35:8 23:10, 54:18, 54:19, becoming [1] - 63:10 59:17, 60:14, 60:16, beg [1] - 95:8 61:10 bravely [1] - 80:6 begin [4] - 4:6, Break [1] - 82:12 25:18, 26:17, 43:18 Brian [1] - 5:7 beginning [1] - 88:14 bridge [22] - 9:22, begins [1] - 27:18 11:20, 14:11, 16:11, begs [1] - 95:15 16:12, 40:14, 41:11, behalf [2] - 47:10, 41:14, 45:2, 58:5, 62:7 61:3, 62:17, 64:23, behind [1] - 8:22 67:1, 67:23, 71:15, belief [2] - 63:11, 75:2, 75:23, 85:7, 70:8 85:13, 85:17 **below** [1] - 38:7 Bridge [52] - 1:4, belt [1] - 83:22 15:1, 15:11, 15:13, beneficial [1] - 36:18 15:23, 32:5, 32:7, benefit [2] - 58:17, 32:20, 37:5, 41:20, 78:11 44:23, 46:23, 47:20, benefits [2] - 70:20, 47:23, 48:7, 48:12, 74:1 53:6, 54:2, 56:2, 56:6, berm [1] - 62:4 57:9, 57:13, 62:14, best [7] - 41:18, 63:2, 63:20, 63:22, 42:1, 53:2, 55:4, 66:10, 67:14, 67:22, 75:10, 75:11, 90:12 68:5, 68:9, 68:14, Betsy [2] - 42:18, 68:23, 69:3, 69:9, 43:13 69:14, 71:5, 71:7, better [1] - 94:1 71:10, 71:11, 71:12, between [16] - 8:6, 71:23, 72:2, 72:4, 36:16, 40:10, 40:18, 72:6, 74:22, 75:15, 49:6, 50:13, 52:23, 83:8, 83:10, 94:11, 64:13, 67:3, 73:23, 94:14, 97:6 79:5, 83:7, 84:7, **bridges** [1] - 58:3 84:17, 87:14, 90:4 **brief** [3] - 7:13, 69:6, beyond [1] - 75:16 70:12 bicyclists [1] - 14:19 briefly [5] - 31:1, bidders [1] - 65:1 31:13, 42:6, 46:5, big [2] - 95:6, 96:2 77:5 bird's [1] - 50:2 bring [6] - 13:15, bit [11] - 10:11, 15:18, 16:13, 34:14, 11:19, 13:7, 14:6, 70:21, 79:16 15:17, 34:17, 35:2, bringing [1] - 15:16 56:5, 59:3, 86:3, **brings** [1] - 76:21 95:17 broader [1] - 69:13 Black [4] - 83:20, brought [1] - 95:9 84:19, 84:21, 84:22 **BROWN** [3] - 6:11, block [1] - 55:13 43:23, 45:17 blowing [1] - 58:22 Brown [3] - 6:11, blue [1] - 26:2 44:1 bluff [1] - 38:7 Brown's [1] - 77:19 board [4] - 4:2, 7:8, Buffalo [35] - 1:7, 59:2, 66:8 1:19, 4:8, 4:9, 5:5, Boarder [1] - 66:7 5:8, 6:2, 6:5, 6:8, boats [1] - 76:12 6:10, 7:9, 15:8, 17:17, body [1] - 44:3 32:8, 37:1, 45:22, born [1] - 15:15 47:11, 49:17, 51:7, boundaries [7] -56:21, 57:6, 59:7, 23:11, 37:5, 44:19, 61:23, 65:11, 70:3,

August [6] - 16:23, 61:12, 77:13, 77:16, ■ACR of Western New York ■ (716)362 - 9838

become [2] - 22:18,

70:6, 70:9, 71:8, 72:11, 75:9, 77:18, 79:22, 83:17, 83:19, 84:21 Buffalo's [3] - 38:1, 71:16, 79:6 build [1] - 66:4 building [6] - 24:13, 29:12, 65:14, 66:23, 71:5, 76:11 buildings [2] - 64:8, 67:16 built [6] - 33:3, 62:20, 64:22, 83:18, 87:4, 93:19 busiest [1] - 62:16 business [2] - 46:7, 83:13 Busti [14] - 12:19, 46:13, 60:14, 60:20, 61:1, 61:4, 68:5, 68:7, 78:4, 83:7, 86:13, 87:3, 87:9, 87:15

#### C

Cameron [1] - 6:23 Campaign [2] - 6:9, 47:10 **CAMPON** [1] - 11:7 Campon [1] - 11:7 Campus [1] - 45:19 Canal [7] - 44:2, 44:13, 44:19, 44:21, 44:23, 58:2 canal [4] - 11:22, 83:22, 84:18 Capobianco [3] -1:8, 97:3, 97:18 capture [2] - 3:5, capturing [1] - 3:1 carefully [1] - 3:3 Carol [4] - 4:11, 53:14, 92:3, 92:22 Carole [2] - 5:18, 89:13 carried [1] - 41:22 carries [1] - 30:9 carry [1] - 90:10 case [5] - 20:23, 21:8, 29:23, 31:23, 87:21 Cattaraugus [1] -57:20 caused [2] - 40:17, 66:2 causes [1] - 40:8 century [1] - 76:4

CEO [2] - 5:5, 51:7

certain [1] - 75:18 certainly [5] - 50:21, 52:7, 53:5, 80:19, Certainly [1] - 52:19 **CERTO** [2] - 5:21, 91:17 Certo [2] - 5:21, 91:17 **CFR** [2] - 18:18, 28:23 chairman [1] - 65:19 challenges [1] -63:12 chance [7] - 38:13, 54:8, 54:14, 65:7, 88:13, 89:12, 93:5 chances [1] - 37:8 change [9] - 23:12, 23:14, 26:7, 29:11, 32:14, 54:21, 66:3, 70.16 changed [1] - 35:2 changes [10] - 26:16, 35:6, 35:20, 36:10, 36:21, 36:22, 55:10, 90:3, 90:7, 93:8 changing [3] - 29:18, 35:3, 78:7 Chapel [1] - 67:18 character [4] - 25:3, 31:22, 55:9, 83:7 characteristics [8] -25:7, 25:8, 26:15, 27:8, 28:8, 36:1, 36:10, 37:9 characters [1] - 25:3 Chautauqua [1] -57:20 check [1] - 80:9 chief [1] - 45:17 Chief [2] - 1:15, 7:11 children [1] - 14:19 choose [1] - 20:11 chosen [1] - 71:19 Chris [1] - 6:18 Church [3] - 67:12, 67:21, 68:10 citizens [9] - 46:14, 49:5, 75:8, 79:11, 79:12, 79:13, 80:3,

City [6] - 4:7, 15:8,

city [6] - 32:8, 57:1,

65:11, 70:6, 71:8,

70:3, 75:8, 83:19,

clarify [3] - 39:22,

88:11, 95:15

79:16

86:13

classes [1] - 84:5 clear [3] - 40:20, 42:4, 47:14 clearly [3] - 49:19, 69:20, 80:17 Clint [2] - 50:19, 77:19 **Clinton** [3] - 6:11, 43:23 close [2] - 82:14, 95:21 closeout [1] - 88:9 closer [2] - 78:4, 93.20 Club [6] - 45:9, 45:17, 45:22, 61:23 club [2] - 79:17 co [1] - 63:21 co-partnering [1] -63:21 coastal [1] - 73:15 Coastal [1] - 74:4 code[1] - 79:12 cognizant [1] - 85:18 coincidence [1] -95:16 collective [2] -62:21, 68:14 colorblind [1] -34:16 Columbus [12] -5:14, 5:15, 5:17, 5:19, 5:22, 5:23, 62:6, 62:10, 77:8, 88:1, 89:13 combination [1] -15:15 coming [16] - 2:3, 9:9, 13:2, 13:10, 13:22, 14:2, 15:21, 17:10, 32:8, 49:20, 49:22, 53:19, 55:15, 71:18, 82:20, 95:22 commend [1] - 47:2 comment [8] - 28:17, 46:22, 47:12, 51:11, 88:18, 89:5, 91:19, 92:8 comments [24] -7:16, 14:16, 28:16, 43:20, 51:4, 51:8, 62:6, 77:19, 77:22, 80:12, 80:20, 82:16, 82:19, 88:7, 89:18, 89:20, 89:23, 91:8, 91:14, 92:7, 94:6, 94:9, 95:20 commercial [5] -66:23, 71:12, 71:19, 72:1, 72:5

Commission [4] -44:3, 46:8, 71:11, 71:13 Commissioner [1] commissioner [2] -44:5, 66:2 **Committee** [1] - 57:3 Common [1] - 70:9 common [2] - 68:12, 71:9 communities [2] -62:16, 74:8 community [8] -52:2, 62:21, 63:7, 64:15, 66:20, 68:14, 69:22, 71:17 Community [2] -5:15, 70:5 Company [1] - 6:12 compartmentalized [1] - 73:7 complete [5] - 30:11, 76:15, 82:6, 82:7, 92:16 completed [1] -64:21 completely [3] -73:12, 75:21, 76:8 complex [1] - 61:18 complexity [1] - 52:9 compliance [3] -19:21, 20:11, 30:8 complimented [1] -73:2 component [1] -72:23 comprehensive [3] -63:5, 72:12, 79:6 concede [1] - 76:15 concept [2] - 65:22, 84:10 conceptual [1] -50:18 concern [1] - 66:16 concerned [1] - 59:8 concerning [1] concerns [12] - 19:1, 43:20, 45:21, 52:11, 59:10, 62:9, 63:8, 66:13, 93:7, 93:15, 94:4, 95:13 concluding [1] -70:23 conclusion [1] - 39:7 conclusions [1] concur [2] - 53:11, 77:18

concurred [2] - 34:2. concurrence [1] condition [1] - 9:14 **conditions** [3] - 8:17, 9:17, 28:12 conducting [1] -71:23 conference [1] -65:10 configuration [3] -41:18, 60:15, 60:21 configure [1] - 41:15 confines [1] - 94:21 confirming [1] -92:11 conflict [1] - 40:12 congestion [1] - 61:2 congress [1] - 44:3 connected [3] - 9:6, 57:13, 85:20 connecting [2] -9:23, 13:18 connection [10] -13:13, 32:4, 52:6, 52:23, 55:14, 65:22, 70:20, 85:23, 86:5, 87:13 Connections [5] -1:3, 66:10, 68:1, 68:8, 97.5 connections [4] -14:8, 14:10, 84:17, 86:8 conservancy [2] -51:19, 52:3 Conservancy [4] -5:6, 51:8, 78:10, 79:11 Conservancy's [1] -37:1 Conservation [1] -Conservatory [1] consider [7] - 9:11, 9:12, 84:9, 89:22, 91:8, 91:14 considerably [1] consideration [2] -64:18, 68:22 considerations [1] -17:8 considered [10] -10:10, 28:18, 38:9, 41:21, 48:9, 48:11, 65:14, 78:13, 87:2, 87:17

considering [6] -11:16, 13:3, 14:15, 15:16, 16:12, 40:13 considers [1] ~ 68:15 constitutes [3] -68:18, 69:15, 69:18 constraint [1] -40:18 constraints [1] -40:8 constrict [1] - 77:3 constructed [1] -40:17 construction [15] -17:5, 34:20, 34:22, 38:23, 39:3, 39:6, 40:7, 45:1, 60:14, 64:23, 66:18, 66:22, 67:4, 68:2, 95:4 consult [1] - 20:7 consultant [1] -43:14 consultants [1] -7:19 consultation [13] -17:19, 19:7, 20:1, 20:4, 20:13, 20:20, 21:4, 29:2, 29:23, 31:18, 44:16, 45:13, 91:10 consultations [1] consulted [2] - 33:1, consulting [21] -2:14, 2:19, 16:20, 19:13, 21:6, 21:7, 21:11, 21:12, 22:14, 22:18, 27:17, 28:14, 34:7, 42:13, 44:1, 44:7, 64:5, 66:12, 89:21, 92:6, 93:3 Consulting [2] - 1:4, Contact [1] - 17:15 contact [1] - 6:20 context [4] - 38:15, 73:10, 73:12, 75:7 continuation [1] continue [5] - 11:10, 11:14, 40:15, 52:15, 89:15 continues [3] - 3:15, 63:13, 67:20 continuous [1] -12:18 contract [1] - 64:9 contractual [1] -64:13

contributed [1] contributing [3] -33:19, 37:16, 37:21 converged [1] - 72:4 conversations [1] -3:15 conversely [1] - 9:7 convey [1] - 25:16 cooperating [1] -65:10 cooperation [1] -44:13 coordinating [1] coordination [4] -31:17, 63:6, 65:1, 67:3 Coordinator [1] -17:16 copies [2] - 43:2, 43:14 copy [7] - 25:21, 42:14, 42:15, 42:21, 43:3, 88:2, 89:22 Cornell [1] - 46:4 corner [2] - 37:4, 46:13 Corporation [2] -44:13, 67:12 correct [2] - 2:8, 88:16 correctly [2] - 3:5, 15:12 corridor [1] - 45:8 Corridor [2] - 44:3, 44:10 cost [1] - 66:1 Council [4] - 4:12, 18:13, 19:12, 70:10 council [8] - 18:12, 18:13, 18:15, 18:16, 20:10, 20:14, 71:9, 91:15 country [1] - 24:21 **COUNTY** [1] - 97:2 County [2] - 57:21, 65:12 couple [11] - 2:2, 10:14, 14:7, 15:7, 42:8, 47:12, 54:4, 56:3, 76:11, 84:13, 88:12 course [2] - 16:7, 83:14 Court [1] - 68:19 cover[1] - 66:1 covered [3] - 4:1, 41:1, 42:3

covering [1] - 88:4

covers [1] - 33:21 CPO [4] - 45:9, 45:15, 61:22 create [2] - 66:14, created [4] - 40:18, 44:3, 46:14, 56:4 creates [2] - 79:21, 94:20 creating [2] - 8:22, creation [1] - 46:19 Criteria [1] - 25:9 criteria [9] - 24:10, 25:2, 25:5, 25:15, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6, 27:14, 28:10 critical [1] - 56:22 critically [1] - 56:16 criticized [1] - 69:7 cross [3] - 13:17, 13:21, 14:18 crosses [2] - 10:4, 40:11 crossing [7] - 14:1, 14:15, 62:17, 63:22, 71:6, 75:23, 76:1 crucial [4] - 54:17, 55:6, 56:1, 58:14 CSX [2] - 40:10, 40:14 Cultural [1] - 17:16 cultural [4] - 20:22, 39:2, 44:18, 76:9 Culture [2] - 6:10, 47:11 culture [1] - 74:8 Cuomo [2] - 67:8, Cuomo's [1] - 71:13 current [5] - 8:9, 47:17, 60:2, 60:21, 85:13 Curtis [1] - 83:23 Customs [2] - 66:7, 66:16 customs [1] - 66:23 cut [1] - 89:11 cuts [1] - 79:19 cutting [1] - 10:9 D

Department [16] -1:6, 1:14, 4:10, 4:19, 4:21, 6:2, 6:5, 6:17, 6:22, 7:1, 33:5, 44:4, 47:2, 65:20, 66:6, 74:23 deposits [1] - 39:2 describe [1] - 31:1 described [2] - 41:5, D.C [1] - 4:13 Dan [10] - 4:18, 7:10, description [3] -39:16, 42:7, 42:11, 28:5, 28:7, 28:8 42:12, 43:23, 88:16, design [8] - 27:12, 88:20, 92:5 36:6, 46:9, 46:17, **DANIEL** [1] - 1:14 51:23, 52:7, 85:22, data [2] - 20:2, 77:23 94:15

date [1] - 65:8 dated [1] - 65:18 days [1] - 89:9 deadline [1] - 80:21 deal [1] - 41:7 December [1] - 17:2 decide [2] - 91:9, 91:16 decided [3] - 70:13, 89:16, 90:8 deciding [2] - 19:22, 91:12 decision [3] - 17:4, 21:15, 28:18 decline [1] - 47:22 declining [2] - 48:2, dedicated [1] - 51:17 defined [3] - 23:4, 33:22, 76:19 defines [3] - 17:18, 18:19, 23:6 defining [2] - 25:4, 31:17 **definitely** [1] - 56:6 degree [2] - 86:1, 86:22 **DEIS** [10] - 17:1, 90:3, 90:10, 91:20, 93:4, 93:5, 93:9, 93:12, 94:5, 95:13 delineates [1] -23:10 delivery [1] - 42:23 demolish [1] - 86:15 demolished [1] -87.5 demolition [2] -67:16, 67:20 demolitions [1] -87.9 demonstrate [1] denoted [1] - 50:21

23 3:15 -21 -19, 7, 1:4,

Design [3] - 6:5, 6:22, 7:1 designated [2] -38:11, 71:16 designed [4] - 46:15, 59:19, 60:6, 64:22 designs [1] - 17:8 desirability [1] - 49:3 despite [1] - 38:11 destruction [4] -59:12, 59:15, 60:11, 74:19 destructive [2] -69:20, 74:17 determination [8] -28:1, 28:20, 28:22, 31:11, 42:1, 59:1, 90:2 determine [4] - 23:9, 23:20, 26:12, 41:18 determined [4] -32:1, 36:4, 37:8, 41:10 determining [1] -27:20 **DETINE** [2] - 7:4, 77:7 DeTine [2] - 7:4, 77:7 develop [1] - 30:1 development [3] -37:2, 38:4, 55:6 Development [2] -58:2, 67:11 develops [1] - 32:13 diagonal [1] - 52:12 dial [1] - 10:17 dialogue [2] - 91:1, diesel [1] - 71:18 different [7] - 40:2, 41:1, 41:6, 41:17, 51:1, 64:1, 90:11 difficult [2] - 3:16, 47:17 digest [2] - 54:14, 91:3 diminish [2] - 36:5, 37:13 diminishes [1] -27:11 direct [13] - 8:6, 8:11, 26:4, 32:4, 34:18, 35:1, 37:20, 49:2, 49:8, 65:22, 69:15, 69:18, 94:18 direction [4] - 12:5, 14:2, 16:2, 34:13 directional [1] - 12:5 directly [5] - 8:23, 27:8, 31:21, 32:1,

ACR of Western New York (716)362-9838

60:13 63:9, 63:12, 68:16, 1:18, 7:21, 11:15 easier[1] - 48:8 eliminating [1] -71:17, 73:23 Director [1] - 7:2 **DOT** [28] - 5:1, 6:20, east [2] - 55:20, 37:18 7:11, 11:6, 19:12, Environmental [4] director [1] - 78:19 57:18 elimination [1] -20:6, 31:2, 31:16, 6:3, 6:20, 17:15, discarded [1] - 78:13 51:19 **echo** [2] - 60:7, discrepancy [2] -33:4, 33:22, 63:17, 72:13 ELIZABETH [1] -30:14 63:19, 64:7, 64:14, **EPA** [4] - 71:3, 50:13, 51:3 Ecology [4] - 6:13, 11:11 64:21, 65:1, 65:4, 71:16, 71:20, 93:1 discuss [1] - 91:14 6:15, 7:6, 30:14 Elizabeth [2] - 5:13, 65:20, 68:21, 69:23, discussed [3] - 3:1, economic [1] - 73:23 Episcopal [3] -70:19, 71:2, 71:19, 87:12, 88:20 edge [2] - 45:20, Elmwood [1] - 46:14 67:12, 67:21, 68:10 75:12, 81:13, 84:11, equal [1] - 2:19 **discussing** [1] - 34:5 79:19 embrace [1] - 72:8 91:2, 91:11 erect [1] - 74:15 discussion [5] effect [48] - 20:8, emissions [1] -**DOTs** [1] - 19:23 Erie [11] - 44:2, 2:21, 2:22, 39:16, 22:22, 22:23, 26:14, 58:20 Doug [2] - 7:2, 64:3 44:21, 44:23, 49:21, 27:1, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6, 87:9, 94:15 emphasized [1] down [35] - 9:5, 10:5, 27:7, 27:14, 27:15, 57:18, 58:2, 59:18, discussions [2] -72:16 10:11, 10:12, 13:2, 27:16, 27:20, 27:21, 60:1, 62:20, 65:12, 3:20. 15:9 Empire [1] - 67:11 13:7, 13:22, 14:6, 76:12 dismiss [1] - 72:8 27:22, 28:2, 28:3, encompasses [1] disproportionate [1] 16:14, 18:2, 35:7, 28:11, 28:19, 28:20, **ERIE** [1] - 97:2 40:9, 40:15, 41:4, 28:22, 29:1, 29:5, ESD [1] - 67:15 - 71:18 encountered [1] -41:17, 41:21, 50:3, 29:8, 29:17, 29:21, especially [1] - 55:13 disrupted [1] - 66:17 39:3 51:9, 51:12, 57:20, 30:2, 30:7, 31:9, essential [1] - 25:3 disruption [1] end [4] - 19:22, 63:12, 78:5, 79:7, 31:16, 35:18, 35:22, 57:18, 90:4, 95:3 essentially [1] -66:18 79:16, 84:16, 84:18, 36:18, 38:19, 49:2, disservice [1] - 77:4 ended [1] - 63:15 75:22 85:8, 85:10, 85:14, 49:8, 50:16, 61:10, establish [2] - 22:1, ending [1] - 88:13 distinct [1] - 46:18 86:19, 87:4, 93:20, 63:23, 75:20, 88:22, district [6] - 24:13, engage [1] - 47:5 24:3 97:8, 97:10 90:1, 90:2 established [2] -26:3, 37:20, 77:12, engaging [1] - 65:5 draft [5] - 39:12, effects [24] - 2:15, 22:3, 24:4 77:17 Engineer [2] - 1:15, 42:22, 43:2, 50:15, 2:18, 17:21, 21:18, estimated [1] - 66:1 **District** [9] - 5:20, 7:11 90:19 21:19, 26:6, 26:11, ethic [1] - 76:9 26:2, 33:20, 37:7, engineering [1] drafted [1] - 33:23 26:12, 28:9, 28:13, evaluate [7] - 30:1, 46:15, 62:8, 62:11, 9:13 drafting [2] - 31:4, 30:16, 31:4, 31:12, 67:6, 71:21 50:7, 50:10, 54:8, Engineering [1] -41:22 37:12, 37:17, 38:22, 64:14, 71:11, 77:23 district's [1] - 37:15 1:15 draw [1] - 81:12 39:9, 39:10, 42:14, English [1] - 97:12 evaluated [1] - 39:4 districts [2] - 37:11, 43:2, 48:7, 76:5, drawing [4] - 12:2, evaluating [1] enhance [3] - 46:11, 12:13, 59:2, 86:8 88:19, 90:20 disturbances [1] -74:5, 75:6 17:20 drawn [2] - 34:3, effort [3] - 23:7, 38:12 enhancing [1] evaluation [2] - 24:9, 23:19, 24:2 80:16 dividing [1] - 31:15 37:17 efforts [1] - 67:3 drive [1] - 46:10 exact [2] - 43:3, 43:7 Division [3] - 1:15, enjoy [1] - 49:7 egress [3] - 56:12, **Drive** [18] - 1:19, exactly [1] - 39:22 4:15, 4:17 enjoyment [1] - 49:4 8:13, 9:15, 9:16, 56:14, 66:16 examine [1] - 62:2 document [5] - 24:3, ensures [1] - 30:9 13:10, 13:14, 32:9, **EIS** [1] - 63:1 example [5] - 24:19, 28:1, 47:14, 64:12, entailed [1] - 21:22 36:13, 37:14, 40:22, either [10] - 16:7, 91:6 29:7, 50:23, 78:1, enter [1] - 52:12 51:20, 69:1, 69:12, 16:16, 16:17, 28:10, documentation [9] -85:17 entered [1] - 62:7 69:17, 70:2, 70:7, 35:22, 36:11, 61:16, 19:19, 27:16, 28:2, excellent [1] - 61:9 entering [1] - 68:13 70:13 81:13, 85:21 28:4, 42:23, 43:7, except [1] - 67:17 entire [1] - 56:14 driven [1] - 75:20 elected [1] - 67:8 49:18, 50:1, 50:15 exceptional [1] entrance [16] - 9:20, driveway [4] - 13:8, elements [7] - 29:6, documents [1] - 30:6 24:23 13:3, 13:5, 13:11, 13:12, 46:20, 52:14 29:12, 36:23, 37:10, **DOFF** [1] - 6:18 exclusively [1] -32:11, 36:19, 40:23, **drop** [1] - 79:7 62:9, 86:3 Doff [1] - 6:18 46:10, 50:23, 51:1, 74:21 during [8] - 15:9, elephant [1] - 75:17 **DOLD** [1] - 5:7 Excuse [1] - 48:16 52:5, 52:8, 52:10, 28:17, 39:3, 39:6, elevate [1] - 95:13 **Dold** [1] - 5:7 52:16, 52:19, 67:23 executive [1] - 78:19 66:15, 66:17, 67:4, elevated [3] - 57:17, dollar [1] - 79:8 exhaust [1] - 71:18 entranceway [1] -90:9 58:21, 70:17 dollars [2] - 66:2, 46:12 exist [5] - 16:13, Duty [1] - 48:12 eligibility [3] - 17:21, 26:21, 63:13, 75:11, 72:9 entry [1] - 50:22 20:3, 20:7 done [13] - 22:2, 85:21 Environment [5] -Ε eligible [8] - 24:14, 22:10, 32:21, 33:3, 4:19, 4:22, 6:14, 6:15, existing [22] - 8:9, 25:1, 25:18, 26:16, 50:10, 53:8, 58:1, 8:16, 8:20, 9:2, 9:6, 7:7 earliest [1] - 83:18 36:3, 45:11, 46:2, 58:2, 80:23, 84:4, 9:18, 9:20, 10:4, environment [1] early [5] - 16:23, 75:15 84:13, 86:14, 87:10 10:12, 12:14, 12:16, 76:23 59:20, 78:22, 83:16, eliminate [3] - 14:21, Donohue [1] - 7:18 environmental [9] -12:19, 13:4, 13:8, 83:23 16:1, 36:13 DONOHUE [3] -3:20, 5:1, 41:23, 63:6, 13:12, 13:19, 24:5,

32:19, 47:15, 47:16, 75:10, 85:10 exists [3] - 15:19, 34:10, 75:6 expand [2] - 48:14, 55:18 expanded [2] - 35:4, 61:13 expanding [1] -67:10 expansion [2] -46:23, 68:6 Expansion [3] -62:15, 63:2, 69:9 **expensive** [1] - 38:5 experience [2] -52:17, 54:11 expert [1] - 56:20 explain [5] - 42:7, 42:11, 69:22, 73:6, 75:21 **explained** [1] - 40:6 explanation [2] -28:10, 74:9 explanatory [1] -25:12 explored [2] - 69:5, 71:6 expressway [3] -79:4, 79:5, 79:9 extends [1] - 26:1 extensive [2] -32:22, 38:11 extremely [1] - 38:9 **eye** [1] - 50:2 F

face [1] - 87:18 facilitating [1] -68:13 facilitator [1] - 3:7 facilities [1] - 84:17 fact [11] - 18:6, 32:14, 34:1, 40:17, 48:5, 50:10, 66:12, 75:2, 78:9, 83:17, 90:19 factor [1] - 19:22 factors [2] - 90:12, failed [1] - 68:22 Failing [1] - 68:18 failing [1] - 69:7 faith [2] - 23:19, 24:2 Falls [4] - 71:11, 72:21, 72:22, 73:2 false [1] - 81:1 far [3] - 9:12, 17:8, 32:18

fatal [1] - 77:2 FDIS [1] - 17:3 feasible [3] - 16:4, 69:5, 85:12 features [2] - 25:4, 84:16 February [1] - 17:4 Federal [11] - 4:14, 4:16, 62:23, 68:21, 69:7, 69:23, 70:19, 71:3, 91:1, 91:15 federal [19] - 18:10, 18:19, 19:2, 19:3, 19:4, 19:6, 20:23, 21:7, 34:11, 45:12, 62:13, 63:4, 63:6, 63:16, 63:21, 68:17 Federally [1] - 20:20 federally [3] - 19:12, 20:19, 22:9 feedback [2] - 89:10, feelings [2] - 27:12, feet [2] - 10:14, 93:19 ferry [1] - 75:23 few [3] - 9:10, 51:9, 61:2 FHWA [14] - 19:12, 19:18, 20:6, 20:23, 21:8, 28:19, 30:8, 31:3, 31:17, 35:5, 75:12, 81:13, 84:11, field [1] - 24:9 figure [2] - 50:18, figures [1] - 46:7 fill [1] - 38:5 filters [1] - 82:6 final [2] - 29:4, 46:22 **finally** [1] - 61:8 findings [10] - 19:19, 20:7, 31:5, 35:15, 39:12, 42:22, 54:4, 54:15, 71:20, 90:19 fine [1] - 43:8 first [15] - 7:13, 8:2, 8:18, 8:19, 10:1, 21:23, 43:22, 44:19, 47:13, 49:12, 49:21, 59:12, 59:21, 71:23, 77:11 fit [1] - 10:13

five [2] - 26:9, 52:12

flawed [1] - 64:4

Fleet [1] - 76:14

focus [1] - 58:14

focused [5] - 3:18,

3:21, 48:19, 48:21, 48:23 focuses [1] - 57:10 folks [6] - 12:10, 54:6, 54:10, 56:7, 57:6, 93:5 following [1] - 62:22 followup [1] - 43:12 foot [5] - 45:23, 84:7, 84:22, 84:23 footing [1] - 85:9 for/or [1] - 31:9 force [1] - 60:22 forced [1] - 61:3 forcefully [1] - 80:17 foremost [1] - 59:12 formally [1] - 77:16 former [1] - 45:9 Fort [2] - 74:20, 76:12 forth [4] - 23:19, 41:22, 79:18, 97:9 forum [3] - 3:20, 7:16, 43:19 forward [11] - 32:14, 34:4, 38:18, 38:22, 39:15, 47:6, 62:1, 71:1, 75:5, 90:10, 95:1 four [4] - 16:13, 21:16, 25:10, 31:7 Frederick [1] - 59:20 free [2] - 2:3, 2:7 Free [1] - 48:13 Front [37] - 8:13, 9:21, 12:12, 13:2, 13:3, 32:9, 32:11, 33:16, 36:9, 36:16, 36:19, 36:22, 37:17, 38:8, 46:9, 49:6, 49:13, 50:8, 50:21, 50:23, 53:1, 53:13, 57:14, 59:13, 59:17, 59:22, 60:10, 60:13, 60:16, 61:18, 67:5, 68:1, 69:9, 69:21, 70:2, 70:13, 70:21 front [11] - 18:6, 29:9, 32:5, 49:6, 49:11, 60:17, 61:4, 61:6, 67:6, 70:18, 74:21 fronts [1] - 68:3 fulfills [1] - 28:23 full [2] - 64:19, 65:2 fully [1] - 70:14 fun [1] - 18:6 funding [2] - 65:23, 70:14

35:7, 36:23, 56:22, 67:14, 85:15, 86:1, 86:4, 87:20

G Gateway [7] - 1:3, 62:5, 66:9, 67:2, 67:23, 68:8, 97:5 gazing [1] - 80:7 gee [1] - 95:16 General [1] - 66:6 general [4] - 7:22, 69:19, 70:15, 86:11 gentleman [4] -43:20, 47:8, 53:21, geographic [2] -22:23, 84:20 geographical [1] -31:20 given [8] - 50:4, 60:4, 65:8, 70:11, 74:10, 75:10, 78:10, 94:6 glad [1] - 92:13 goal [4] - 19:7, 46:16, 53:3, 68:12 Gordon [1] - 67:17 government [6] -21:1, 45:12, 63:22, 72:7, 90:18 governor [1] - 67:8 Governor [2] - 68:4, 71:13 great [1] - 47:6 Greater [2] - 6:10, 47:10 greater [1] - 95:15 green [6] - 9:16, 26:4, 34:19, 35:1, 36:14, 79:12 Greg [1] - 6:4 **GREGORY** [1] - 6:15 gridlock [1] - 56:5 GRIFFIS [2] - 6:13, 30:18 **Griffis** [2] - 6:13, 30:14 ground [6] - 33:10, 35:10, 38:12, 50:4, 70:12, 93:21 Group [4] - 7:18, 11:8, 83:2, 83:5 group [2] - 57:6, 57:10 grows [1] - 45:4 guess [10] - 25:21,

53:3, 82:9, 82:14,

88:8, 92:19, 92:23,

95:11, 95:21 guideline [1] - 4:1 guidelines [5] - 39:5, 64:16, 73:20, 84:11, 84:12

Н half [1] - 10:9 Hampshire [2] -55:19, 84:23 hand [2] - 43:21, 49:15 handed [2] - 50:7, 89:3 handle [1] - 41:2 handout [2] - 43:2, handouts [1] - 25:11 hands [1] - 39:13 Hans [1] - 4:16 Harbor [1] - 58:2 harbor [1] - 58:4 hard [2] - 95:4, 95:15 hardly [1] - 57:9 harm [1] - 64:20 hatched [1] - 12:14 headed [1] - 16:5 heading [2] - 9:1, hear [8] - 3:4, 3:17, 18:1, 18:16, 55:2, 92:5, 93:15, 93:16 heard [2] - 14:16, 88:12 hearing [5] - 17:2, 91:19, 94:3, 97:8, 97:9 heavy [1] - 71:19 held [3] - 1:5, 16:19, 20:21 **helicopter** [1] - 10:3 help [6] - 86:6, 93:6, 93:16, 95:13, 95:15, 96:2 helps [3] - 12:6, 12:7, 13:20 herein [1] - 97:9 hereunto [1] - 97:13 Heritage [2] - 44:2, 44:10 heritage [9] - 83:4, 83:9, 83:15, 83:16, 83:21, 84:5, 84:6, 85:19, 86:3 HERRERA [2] - 5:4, 51:6 Herrera [2] - 5:5, 51:6 HERRERA-

-ACR of Western New York

future [9] - 15:10,

(716)362-9838

MISHLER [1] - 5:4 Herrera-Mishler [1] -HERTER [1] - 5:9 Herter[1] - 5:9 Hi [1] - 77:7 hi [2] - 17:23, 90:14 high [1] - 79:5 highlight [1] - 9:18 Highway [9] - 4:15, 4:16, 68:21, 69:7, 69:23, 70:19, 71:3, 91:2, 91:15 highway [4] - 62:13, 63:17, 63:21, 72:18 highways [1] - 34:11 Highways [1] - 62:23 Hill [16] - 5:14, 5:19, 7:4, 26:2, 33:20, 37:7, 62:8, 62:10, 67:5, 68:1, 69:10, 70:22, 71:21, 77:10, 77:12, 77:14 himself [1] - 79:16 hired [2] - 33:5, 79:16 historic [85] - 18:11, 18:20, 19:1, 19:8, 19:10, 20:9, 21:17, 22:6, 22:20, 23:1, 23:9, 23:17, 23:20, 24:5, 24:7, 24:12, 24:21, 25:17, 26:15, 26:18, 26:19, 26:20, 26:21, 27:2, 27:9, 28:6, 28:7, 28:9, 28:13, 29:8, 29:9, 29:11, 29:12, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 30:2, 31:10, 31:23, 32:17, 36:2, 36:6, 37:11, 37:13, 39:10, 44:18, 44:20, 45:5, 49:3, 49:4, 49:16, 51:17, 51:22, 53:10, 55:8, 56:10, 59:13, 59:23, 60:5, 60:10, 60:11, 60:12, 61:5, 61:6, 61:15, 61:18, 61:20, 63:8, 63:11, 64:15, 64:20, 66:20, 69:4, 69:17, 70:3, 73:13, 73:21, 77:12, 84:16, 85:2, 85:19, 86:15, 87:5 Historic [24] - 4:12, 5:19, 11:12, 18:8, 18:14, 24:15, 24:16, 26:2, 31:18, 33:20,

37:7, 42:15, 44:15,

45:10, 45:12, 45:14, 46:1, 46:3, 59:15, 61:20, 62:8, 62:11, 67:5, 71:21 historical [8] - 2:16, 30:16, 35:23, 38:14, 48:20, 76:6, 76:10, 81:18 historically [4] -46:12, 51:10, 52:20, 75:1 history [4] - 73:10, 74:7, 76:2, 76:3 History [2] - 6:10, 47:11 HITT [14] - 4:18, 42:12, 42:21, 43:11, 81:19, 81:23, 82:10, 88:17, 88:22, 89:16, 89:20, 91:8, 91:12, 91:21 Hitt [2] - 4:18, 42:7 hold [2] - 10:16, 39:17 holding [1] - 65:10 home [5] - 29:9, 29:11, 43:8, 67:17 Home [3] - 67:12, 67:21, 68:10 Homeland [1] - 66:6 homes [3] - 86:15, 86:19, 87:5 honestly [1] - 74:2 hope [3] - 16:21, 86:8, 95:22 hoping [1] - 92:23 horizontal [1] - 23:11 Houett [1] - 65:19 hours [2] - 54:4, 66:15 Hutchinson [1] -

I-190 [2] - 8:6, 40:5 I-90 [2] - 94:19, 94:22 idea [6] - 15:15, 21:21, 47:18, 77:14, 77:15, 79:9 ideal [2] - 14:1, 16:16 identification [1] -23:7 identified [16] -22:16, 23:20, 30:16

67:18

identified [16] -22:16, 23:20, 30:16, 32:22, 33:16, 38:2, 38:19, 39:3, 39:9, 39:10, 45:10, 61:5, 61:21, 65:4, 68:11,

70:12

identify [7] - 3:12, 4:4, 19:7, 22:13, 24:2, 28:6, 32:17 identifying [7] -21:17, 22:9, 22:20, 23:17, 31:10, 44:18, 44:20 identity [1] - 25:17 ignore [4] - 70:1, 71:3, 71:20, 77:12 ignored [1] - 71:8 imagine [1] - 95:4 imbeds [1] - 79:3 impact [27] - 26:23, 29:14, 34:19, 34:21, 35:1, 37:6, 37:15, 37:18, 41:23, 45:22, 48:1, 49:2, 51:13, 51:21, 52:18, 53:9, 53:12, 57:23, 58:19, 60:4, 61:11, 62:10, 63:9, 66:14, 66:19, 69:8, 78:1 impacted [4] - 29:22, 45:6, 67:7 impacting [1] - 26:22 impacts [6] - 50:11, 56:8, 58:10, 59:8, 63:9, 93:8 impairment [1] implementation [1] implementing [1] -66:21 important [11] - 32:1, 49:16, 49:19, 49:22, 73:9, 83:4, 84:1, 84:15, 85:2, 86:18, 94:5 impossibilities [1] -79:10 impossibility [1] -79:21 impoverished [1] -71:16

incomprehensible [1] - 76:8 incorporate [3] -15:13, 34:17, 45:21 incorporated [1] -44:23 increasing [1] - 78:4 increasingly [1] -63:10 incredible [1] - 76:2 incumbent [1] - 72:7 incur [1] - 35:6 independent [3] -68:11, 94:17, 94:20 Indians [1] - 21:3 indicated [4] - 14:22, 35:16, 37:23, 92:5 indicates [2] - 47:21, 85:11 indication [1] - 48:15 indirect [1] - 34:21 indirectly [2] - 27:8, 31:21 individual [3] -37:11, 41:5, 64:7 individualized [1] -7:16 individuals [1] -21:10 induce [1] - 48:6 inducing [1] - 48:6 industrial [6] - 83:6, 83:7, 83:9, 83:21, 84:6, 84:17 industry [1] - 83:23 inextricable [1] -72.22 influenced [1] -64:12 information [30] -3:1, 20:1, 21:13, 24:5, 24:9, 32:19, 33:6, 33:7, 33:12, 42:7, 42:9, 42:10, 43:10, 60:4, 64:4, 65:6, 72:8, 81:20, 82:3, 88:10, 89:10, 90:23, 91:3, 93:2, 93:6, 93:9, 93:21, 95:12, 95:14 informative [1] infrastructure [2] ~ 71:5, 80:1 initial [1] - 32:15

including [7] - 17:19,

inclusion [1] - 27:10

incomparable [1] -

36:22, 44:21, 52:22,

61:1, 75:14, 84:16

initiate [1] - 19:23 initiating [2] - 21:16, 21:23 inner [1] - 58:3 input [11] - 2:16, 21:14, 39:14, 54:9, 65:13, 65:17, 78:12, 88:5, 89:12, 93:14, 94:3 Inspection [1] -66:17 instead [1] - 79:9 insure [3] - 39:1, 42:4, 67:5 integrity [5] - 25:15, 27:11, 36:5, 37:13, 75:18 intended [5] - 60:6, 60:18, 65:4, 80:8, 87:14 intends [1] - 8:19 intent [4] - 40:4, 40:16, 46:7, 89:3 intention [2] - 81:4, 87:11 intentionally [1] -69:23 intents [1] - 40:21 interact [1] - 91:4 interconnected [1] -68:15 interest [2] - 52:7, 64:22 interesting [1] -92:14 Interior [1] - 44:4 interior [1] - 44:6 international [1] -62:17 interrupt [1] - 48:17 intersection [8] -13:6, 13:9, 14:21, 15:3, 37:3, 41:6, 46:19, 52:13 interstate [1] - 8:8 intrenching [1] -79:8 introduce [1] - 37:12 introduction [1] -38:5 inventory [1] - 46:6 investigations [1] -31:2 invite [1] - 78:21 invited [2] - 21:10, 78:15 involve [2] - 18:12, involved [5] - 31:3,

32:20, 46:23, 63:17,

-ACR of Western New York - (716)362-9838

impression [1] - 81:2

improve [3] - 36:16,

Improvement [3] -

improvements [5] -

include [7] - 38:23,

41:11, 45:4, 55:16,

55:19, 65:11, 83:12

included [3] - 40:20,

1:3, 66:10, 97:6

54:1, 57:4, 57:7,

IN [1] - 97:13

75:14, 83:5

49:14, 64:8

36:20, 55:7

involvement [2] -70:6, 70:9 isolation [2] - 48:11, 87:19 issue [2] - 24:22, 49:10 issued [5] - 27:15, 64:22, 65:1, 67:15, 72:2 issues [9] - 3:21, 28:19, 29:3, 29:5, 63:14, 69:8, 77:1, 77:2, 93:10 Italian [1] - 76:3 Italian-American [1] - 76:3 iterations [1] - 40:2 itself [11] - 7:14, 9:21, 12:12, 15:23, 29:12, 29:22, 37:21, 66:11, 69:15, 94:20, 95:1

67:10

JAMES [1] - 6:21 James [1] - 6:21 January [1] - 62:22 Jason [2] - 6:7, 59:6 Jim [2] - 6:13, 30:14 jive [1] - 50:22 Joan [1] - 65:21 JONES [3] - 6:19, 17:23, 20:17 Jones [2] - 6:19, 17:14 Joseph [2] - 5:21, 91:17 jotted [1] - 51:9 judgment [1] - 53:3 July [9] - 1:7, 34:3, 34:12, 42:12, 65:2, 65:4, 65:9, 97:7, 97:14 June [1] - 22:15 justice [1] - 71:17 justify [1] - 86:6

#### Κ

KATHERINE [1] -Katherine [1] - 11:5 Kathy [5] - 5:16, 62:5, 77:19, 88:1, 90:14 Kathy's [1] - 72:12 Kaylie [1] - 4:14 keep [1] - 43:6

Keeper [1] - 57:6 kept [1] - 34:7 key [3] - 59:21, 69:8, 83:21 Kim [1] - 4:23 kind [16] - 7:21, 8:14, 9:14, 10:2, 12:12, 15:15, 16:18, 19:16, 21:20, 26:1, 57:15, 72:13, 73:6, 81:16, 83:7, 93:21 Kleinhan's [1] -53:17 knowing [2] - 86:21, 87:6 known [2] - 31:19, 38:3 knows [1] - 68:7 KRAMER [1] - 4:14 Kramer [1] - 4:14 lake [6] - 49:7, 57:16, 57:20, 60:17, 61:6, 80.6

Lake [5] - 49:21, 57:18, 59:18, 60:1, 62:20

Lakeview [2] - 13:15,

land [6] - 51:22, 51:23, 52:1, 53:13, 67:13, 69:19

landmark [1] - 67:17 lands [1] - 66:20 landscape [5] - 4:23, 5:7, 38:6, 53:10,

59:19 landscaping [1] -36:22

Landscaping [1] -

lane [1] - 12:9 lanes [4] - 8:11, 14:22, 16:13, 16:14 **LANGTON** [1] - 6:16 Langton [1] - 6:16 language [1] - 97:12 larger [4] - 77:17,

94:11, 94:14, 95:5 LaSalle [2] - 9:23, 14:7

last [8] - 8:1, 16:19, 32:21, 48:18, 56:3, 61:2, 79:10, 88:13 lastly [1] - 71:2 late [1] - 74:13 launching [1] - 76:14

Law [1] - 59:20

law [3] - 68:18, 78:21, 87:23 lawsuits [1] - 86:16 lead [4] - 31:4, 63:3, 63:20, 66:11

leading [1] - 71:23 League [4] - 10:20, 11:3, 80:18, 94:9 leak [1] - 74:3 least [7] - 10:9,

14:18, 25:2, 25:14, 35:5, 50:14, 85:17 leaving [1] - 68:13 legal [2] - 69:6, 70:11

LEGARD [4] - 4:11, 20:15, 92:3, 92:22 Legard [3] - 4:11, 92:3, 92:22

legitimate [1] - 77:2 **LEHMAN** [1] - 5:2 Lehman [1] - 5:2 lengthy [1] - 18:21 **LESLIE** [1] - 11:5

less [1] - 24:22 letter [6] - 33:23, 64:22, 65:18, 65:19, 78:20, 87:22

Leslie [1] - 11:5

letters [1] - 71:20 Lewiston [2] - 47:23, 71:7

Lewiston-Queenston [2] -47:23, 71:7

licenses [1] - 19:5 likely [1] - 78:2 limitations [2] - 3:10, 80:15

limited [2] - 8:6, 58:6 Linda [2] - 7:4, 77:7 line [8] - 11:18, 13:8, 25:22, 25:23, 48:18,

83:22, 86:22 lines [1] - 86:7 link [3] - 40:5, 82:9, 94:19

linkage [5] - 72:22, 76:16, 76:17, 95:7, 95:8

list [6] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:10, 44:8, 44:9, 45:7 listed [4] - 24:14, 36:3, 59:14, 61:19 **Listing** [1] - 33:18 listing [7] - 24:15, 33:19, 36:3, 36:11,

37:10, 45:11, 46:3 listings [1] - 37:11 live [2] - 77:9, 79:1

maintains [1] - 21:1

78:19 living [1] - 62:16 load [1] - 60:22 local [8] - 8:7, 8:21, 9:4, 41:3, 47:15, 48:2, 60:23, 66:20

located [2] - 14:13, 41.3

location [4] - 8:9, 27:11, 36:6, 47:17 locations [1] - 51:2 look [28] - 8:15, 8:16, 10:1, 24:11, 48:4, 50:20, 54:12, 55:12,

55:18, 56:8, 57:4, 58:12, 58:15, 59:1, 59:3, 65:7, 77:14, 81:23, 84:14, 85:16,

86:2, 87:7, 87:8, 87:11, 87:18, 87:21,

looked [8] - 9:17, 17:9, 23:8, 23:15, 52:5, 58:6, 58:8, 85:11

looking [26] - 8:18, 13:18, 18:4, 22:21, 24:12, 24:13, 26:5, 37:23, 38:1, 41:16, 54:12, 55:14, 58:3, 58:11, 78:14, 80:6, 82:7, 83:11, 83:15, 84:3, 85:1, 85:4, 85:6, 85:9, 86:23, 90:22

looks [1] - 87:5 loop [1] - 93:2 LORENZ [1] - 4:23

Lorenz [1] - 4:23 lose [2] - 10:14,

76:16 loss [3] - 69:22, 73:6, 75:20 loudly [1] - 3:3

low [1] - 38:9 lower[1] - 71:16

#### М

mail [4] - 42:11, 43:4, 43:5, 43:12 mailing [1] - 92:10 main [1] - 11:6 maintain [8] - 2:21, 8:20, 12:16, 12:18, 13:16, 25:6, 25:15, 25:19 maintained [1] - 9:3 maintaining [1] -13:18

major [2] - 9:12, 67:4 management[1] -

Management[1] -

manager [1] - 5:1 Manager [1] - 1:18 manner [2] - 27:10,

map [4] - 23:5, 49:13, 50:21, 80:16 Maria [1] - 5:2

Martina [1] - 5:13 MARTINIA [1] - 5:13 Mary [1] - 4:20 master [4] - 12:23,

83:12, 83:14, 84:3 material [1] - 81:14 materials [5] - 27:12, 36:7, 50:6, 77:13, 81:10

McDonald [1] - 65:21 mean [8] - 19:3, 19:5, 23:2, 24:18, 24:22, 48:1, 75:16, 93:8

means [1] - 26:14 meant [1] - 89:5 meantime [1] - 92:9 measures [5] - 2:17, 20:8, 30:1, 30:6,

61:11 meat [1] - 26:10 MECCA [4] - 5:16, 62:4, 88:1, 90:14 Mecca [5] - 5:16, 62:5, 77:20, 88:1, 90:14

meet [2] - 25:1, 25:14

Meeting [2] - 1:5, 97:7

meeting [21] - 2:13, 3:8, 4:6, 8:1, 14:17, 16:19, 16:20, 22:15, 22:16, 23:6, 52:11, 54:5, 54:13, 54:17, 62:12, 89:14, 89:17, 90:22, 91:4, 91:13, 93.13

meetings [2] - 58:8, 91:18

member [4] - 5:22, 7:9, 66:12, 77:8 members [3] - 2:19, 64:5, 72:4

memorandum [2] -30:4, 38:20 mention [5] - 74:4, 75:16, 75:21, 76:4,

■ACR of Western New York ■

lives [2] - 78:17,

88:21 mentioned [9] -22:14, 25:13, 31:20, 32:4, 32:12, 34:2, 34:23, 40:23, 53:11 merger [1] - 71:9 merging [1] - 71:14 MERRITT [2] - 11:11, Merritt [2] - 11:12, microphone [2] -55:2, 55:3 might [17] - 3:17, 7:23, 15:12, 15:23, 24:1, 26:5, 33:12, 34:5, 35:11, 46:5, 52:14, 78:3, 78:13, 84:9, 85:15, 87:20, 93:10 mike [1] - 4:9 miles [1] - 76:11 million [1] - 66:2 mind [3] - 43:6, 43:22, 82:20 mine [1] - 54:6 minimize [6] - 2:17, 19:9, 20:8, 28:13, 30:1, 64:20 minute [4] - 32:16, 33:21, 34:2, 88:5 minutes [1] - 97:10 MISHLER [1] - 5:4 Mishler [1] - 5:5 miss [2] - 24:1, 89:1 missing [1] - 43:10 mistyped [1] - 2:7 misunderstanding [1] - 40:1 mitigate [9] - 2:17, 19:9, 20:9, 28:13, 29:14, 30:2, 30:7, 74:17, 75:5 mitigation [3] -30:10, 46:5, 46:16 mix [1] - 87:7 MOA [3] - 30:5, 30:7, mockery [1] - 73:14 model [1] - 58:19 modeled [1] - 58:7 modeling [5] - 58:4, 58:11, 58:18, 58:19, 60:8 modern [3] - 52:9, 52:11, 52:14 modified [2] - 13:9, modifying [1] - 13:6 moment [1] - 48:17

MONTAGUE [1] -5:11 Montague [2] - 5:11, 64:3 month [2] - 8:1, 16:19 morning [1] - 50:15 most [9] - 26:5, 56:16, 56:22, 56:23, 65:6, 72:13, 72:19, 73:9, 85:12 mouthful [1] - 18:15 move [9] - 13:8, 32:14, 34:4, 35:13, 39:14, 72:1, 72:5, 75:5, 94:23 moved [1] - 12:15 movement [1] - 35:3 moves [1] - 38:22 moving [11] - 14:6, 30:13, 34:13, 38:18, 51:12, 62:1, 70:23, 78:3, 85:7, 85:16, 95:6 MOZELLE [2] - 6:4, 10:16 Mozelle [1] - 6:4 MR [89] - 2:1, 4:7, 4:9, 4:16, 4:18, 5:4, 5:7, 5:11, 5:21, 6:1, 6:4, 6:7, 6:9, 6:11, 6:13, 6:18, 6:21, 6:23, 7:2, 7:8, 7:10, 7:21, 10:16, 10:18, 10:22, 11:4, 11:9, 11:13, 11:15, 17:13, 30:12, 30:18, 39:17, 42:12, 42:21, 43:6, 43:11, 43:18, 43:23, 45:15, 45:17, 47:7, 47:9, 48:16, 49:1, 49:9, 51:4, 51:6, 53:21, 53:23, 59:5, 59:6, 62:3, 72:10, 77:6, 80:10, 80:23, 81:5, 81:7, 81:19, 81:22, 81:23, 82:5, 82:10, 82:18, 82:20, 82:22, 82:23, 83:1, 88:4, 88:17, 88:18, 88:22, 89:9, 89:16, 89:20, 90:8, 91:8, 91:12, 91:17, 91:21, 91:23, 92:1, 92:15, 92:21, 93:11, 94:16, 95:11,

95:19

MS [41] - 4:11, 4:14,

money [1] - 66:3

monitoring [1] - 39:1

monitors [1] - 39:5

4:20, 4:23, 5:2, 5:9, 5:13, 5:16, 5:18, 6:15, 6:16, 6:19, 7:4, 7:6, 10:19, 11:2, 11:5, 11:7, 11:11, 17:23, 20:15, 20:17, 42:18, 53:14, 62:4, 77:7, 80:13, 81:3, 88:1, 89:13, 89:18, 90:6, 90:14, 92:8, 92:13, 92:18, 92:22, 94:8, 95:2, 95:14, 96:1 multiuse [1] - 14:5 Museum [1] - 44:17 must [3] - 25:1, 25:14, 25:15

Ν name [11] - 2:6, 2:8, 2:23, 3:12, 4:4, 7:10, 10:23, 59:6, 82:21, 82:23, 97:14 names [2] - 2:9, 84:21 Nancy [1] - 5:9 narrow [3] - 16:16, 73:7, 76:19 narrowing [2] -10:11, 10:12 narrowly [1] - 54:19 Nathan [2] - 5:11, Nation [2] - 21:3, nation [1] - 74:9 National [27] - 11:12, 17:20, 18:8, 24:15, 25:2, 25:5, 25:9, 25:18, 26:17, 27:10, 33:2, 33:18, 36:3, 36:12, 37:10, 42:19, 44:2, 44:5, 44:10, 44:11, 44:17, 45:11, 46:3, 49:17, 59:14, 61:19, 75:15 nationally [2] -62:19, 70:7 nations [3] ~ 20:22, 21:2, 59:21 Naval [1] - 45:18 Navy [1] - 76:13 near [2] - 12:21, 84:23 necessarily [4] -23:14, 24:18, 46:11, 74:15 necessary [1] -

8:7, 8:10, 9:12, 10:17, 10:22, 19:2, 30:4, 39:14, 47:13, 47:14, 48:17, 54:7, 54:8, 54:12, 54:16, 55:12, 55:17, 55:18, 57:21, 58:12, 58:14, 58:15, 59:2, 61:12, 66:4, 71:14, 77:22, 78:5, 85:17, 87:16, 90:10 needed [3] - 29:2, 29:13, 82:3 needing [1] - 77:21 needs [4] - 42:2, 52:11, 56:9, 58:1 negative [3] - 53:11, 53:12, 66:14 negotiate [1] - 70:1 neighborhood [11] -33:1, 33:7, 36:17, 55:6, 55:23, 70:22, 76:21, 77:8, 77:20, 78:18, 79:20 neighborhoods [12] - 54:22, 55:1, 55:5, 55:9, 55:13, 55:16, 56:4, 56:7, 61:16, 61:21, 69:10, 73:13 network [1] - 8:22 never [4] - 57:11, 77:16, 79:23, 80:2 New [32] - 1:7, 1:14, 1:16, 1:19, 4:15, 4:17, 4:18, 4:20, 5:2, 5:10, 6:1, 6:4, 6:16, 6:21, 6:23, 10:21, 11:3, 11:6, 31:16, 41:12, 44:12, 44:16, 45:18, 62:18, 65:20, 66:9, 67:11, 74:23, 75:12, 97:4, 97:5, 97:18 **NEW** [1] - 97:1 new [17] - 8:23, 9:3, 9:6, 10:6, 13:23, 14:8, 14:20, 16:6, 16:11, 24:10, 37:2, 40:5, 40:14, 40:18, 46:9, 50:1, 74:16 newest [1] - 32:7 next [17] - 9:22, 11:4, 16:22, 17:4, 17:6, 17:13, 25:5, 26:9, 27:4, 30:13, 42:6, 47:7, 51:5, 90:1, 91:16, 91:18, 95:22 Niagara [24] - 6:8, 7:9, 16:8, 49:22, 54:1, 55:20, 57:6, 59:7, 59:18, 60:1, 60:18, 61:7, 62:5, 62:21,

71:10, 72:11, 72:21, 72:22, 73:1, 73:3, 83:2, 83:5, 83:6 Niagara's [1] - 77:19 nice [1] - 16:14 nobody [1] - 43:9 **NOI** [1] - 8:4 noise [10] - 3:16, 49:2, 69:19, 70:15, 78:1, 78:2, 78:3, 78:4, 78:6, 93:8 nomination [1] -44:12 nondescript [1] -72:18 **none** [2] - 36:21, 63:14 north [10] - 11:19, 12:21, 14:15, 40:5, 49:15, 49:20, 54:2, 83:8, 83:10, 86:3 northbound [8] -8:11, 9:1, 9:2, 9:20, 15:17, 32:6, 94:19, 94:22 northern [1] - 60:13 Notary [3] - 1:8, 97:3, 97:18 note [1] - 73:5 noted [3] - 51:4, 59:11, 59:19 notice [2] - 63:1, 63:16 Notice [1] - 1:5 notion [1] - 79:4 November [2] - 17:1, 71:3 number [7] - 10:19, 32:23, 33:15, 40:1, 55:9, 66:13, 81:12 NY [1] - 1:3

## 0

OBERST [6] - 53:23, 82:18, 82:22, 83:1, 92:1 Oberst [2] - 83:1, 92:1 object [3] - 64:2, 78:9, 79:2 objecting [1] - 71:22 objective [2] - 8:10, 64:12 objectively [1] -64:14 objectives [2] - 8:15, 42:2 objects [1] - 24:14 obligations [1] -

need [33] - 8:3, 8:5,

47:18

obstruct [3] - 59:17, 60:16, 61:5 obstructing [1] -60:5 obvious [2] - 50:6, 94:10 obviously [10] - 14:9, 24:20, 34:7, 49:1, 59:19, 72:11, 72:22, 73:2, 75:17, 87:10 occur [3] - 23:1, 23:2, 23:3 occurred [1] - 74:18 occurs [1] - 27:7 **OF** [2] - 97:1, 97:2 offense [1] - 78:18 offer [2] - 2:16, 80:12 office [2] - 11:6, 44:7 Office [9] - 4:19, 4:21, 5:10, 6:6, 31:19, 44:16, 45:10, 45:14, 46:1 Officer's [1] - 45:17 official [2] - 2:5, 2:12 officials [2] - 46:2, 67:9 **old** [8] - 13:13, 24:20, 29:10, 76:13, 81:20, 83:5, 83:7, 84:16 Old [1] - 74:20 Oliver [1] - 80:5 Olmstead [8] - 5:8, 12:22, 33:18, 37:1, 46:8, 46:14, 46:17, 49:12 Olmsted [17] - 5:6, 49:19, 51:7, 52:21, 53:16, 56:20, 56:21, 59:20, 62:20, 69:2, 69:6, 70:4, 72:23, 78:10, 79:11, 79:15, Olmsted's [6] -68:22, 70:1, 73:1, 74:19, 74:20, 79:22 omission [1] - 76:15 omit [2] - 75:21, 76:8 on-site [1] - 39:6 once [7] - 9:14, 25:2, 27:23, 33:22, 34:6, 58:18, 76:7 one [43] - 3:2, 3:7, 10:10, 12:10, 13:13, 14:14, 16:2, 16:15, 19:15, 20:19, 21:22, 25:2, 25:14, 30:13, 32:8, 33:16, 34:15, 39:21, 40:21, 46:22,

64.17

47:1, 50:12, 50:14, 57:15, 59:22, 65:8, 68:6, 68:11, 68:12, 68:16, 72:15, 78:14, 78:21, 79:22, 81:8, 83:11, 84:2, 84:5, 90:12, 92:19, 93:11 ongoing [2] - 48:13, 48:14 online [1] - 18:21 open [5] - 7:16, 12:8, 39:15, 57:14, 91:5 opened [2] - 47:23, 56:23 opening [1] - 43:19 opinion [1] - 47:5 opportunities [1] opportunity [12] -2:14, 2:20, 3:9, 22:18, 61:9, 80:11, 80:20, 82:16, 85:18, 91:2, 91:19, 96:2 opposed [2] - 52:6, 80:15 opposing [1] - 69:3 option [2] - 14:20, 41:16 options [11] - 14:7, 41:2, 41:21, 41:23, 58:3, 58:5, 58:6, 58:7, 58:9, 78:12, 90:9 Orchard [1] - 78:19 order [4] - 8:14, 51:8, 54:8, 85:8 ordinary [1] - 90:17 organization [2] -78:15, 78:16 original [10] - 46:17, 49:11, 51:23, 52:6, 52:8, 52:16, 59:22, 83:20, 84:19, 84:22 originally [3] - 57:13, 60:6, 60:18 otherwise [1] - 31:19 ought [3] - 44:9, 45:5, 45:7 ourselves [1] - 80:19 outcome [1] - 64:1 outline [1] - 34:16 outlining [3] - 26:3, 65:2, 66:13 output [1] - 46:8 outside [5] - 12:11, 34:21, 37:5, 55:11, 61:17 overall [3] - 51:16, 53:8, 95:6

overnight [3] -

42:23, 43:16, 92:19

oversee [1] - 20:10 5:8, 33:18, 59:22 parks [3] - 49:12, overseeing [1] - 57:7 oversight [1] - 91:11 overview [5] - 7:22, 8:16, 17:7, 17:11, 5:19, 5:22, 88:2, 19:16 own [3] - 66:21, 94:21 59:22, 79:7, 79:9, owned [1] - 70:3 79:14, 79:18 7:18, 11:8 P.E.,L.S [1] - 1:14 p.m [1] - 1:8 18:18, 22:7, 29:10, package [5] - 23:5, 33:17, 33:21, 35:8, 42:17, 42:20, 92:16, 92:19 59:21, 65:12, 69:13, packages [3] - 42:8, 74:14, 76:16, 78:16, 79:12, 83:19, 94:2, 43:12, 43:15 page [2] - 50:23, 94:4 65:2 Part [1] - 28:23 painting [1] - 18:5 participant's [1] -19:16 parameters [1] -75:11 participants [1] paramount [1] -2:20 63:10 participate [3] -20:12, 21:11 parcel [1] - 67:13 park [38] - 12:19, participates [1] -12:20, 12:21, 13:5, 19:11 13:11, 13:19, 14:2, participating [6] -33:19, 36:13, 37:4, 20:14, 21:4, 22:12, 37:14, 37:19, 38:11, 65:12, 65:15, 72:4 40:22, 41:1, 46:12, particular [6] -51:22, 51:23, 52:1, 20:13, 23:3, 24:7, 52:10, 52:12, 52:15, 30:22, 31:7, 35:12 56:19, 58:13, 59:19, particularly [4] -59:21, 66:19, 69:4, 38:7, 48:8, 49:14, 69:15, 69:17, 69:18, 70:3, 70:8, 70:14, parties [16] - 2:14, 78:5, 79:5, 79:16, 16:21, 19:13, 21:6, 79:20 21:7, 21:12, 22:14, Park [53] - 5:15, 5:17, 27:17, 28:15, 31:3, 5:23, 8:13, 9:21, 9:23, 34:7, 42:13, 64:5, 12:12, 13:2, 13:3, 89:21, 92:7, 93:3 14:7, 32:10, 32:11, partnering [1] -33:17, 36:9, 36:16, 63:21 36:19, 36:22, 37:1, Partnership [1] -37:17, 38:8, 44:5, 44:17, 46:9, 46:15, party [6] - 2:19, 49:6, 49:13, 50:8, 21:11, 22:19, 43:15, 50:21, 50:23, 51:7, 44:2, 44:8 53:1, 53:13, 57:14, 59:13, 59:17, 60:10, passed [1] - 34:11 60:13, 60:16, 61:18, 62:6, 62:11, 62:20, path [1] - 14:5 67:5, 68:1, 69:9, 69:21, 70:2, 70:13,

Parkway [5] - 5:14, parkway [6] - 13:4, pARSONS [1] - 1:17 **Parsons** [3] - 6:18, part [23] - 10:9, 15:6, 40:7, 41:9, 50:5, 57:2, Party [2] - 1:4, 97:7 past [2] - 38:4, 75:4 patterns [5] - 54:21, 55:22, 69:14, 70:17, 78:8 pavement [3] -12:14, 12:18, 13:14

pay [1] - 35:12 **PBA** [5] - 65:19, 66:9, 66:11, 66:21, 75:12 PBA's [1] - 66:15 Peace [39] - 1:4, 15:1, 15:23, 32:5, 32:7, 32:20, 41:20, 46:23, 48:7, 54:2, 56:2, 56:6, 57:9, 57:13, 62:14, 63:2, 63:20, 63:22, 66:10, 67:14, 67:22, 68:5, 68:9, 68:13, 68:23, 69:3, 69:9, 69:14, 71:5, 71:12, 71:23, 72:2, 72:5, 75:15, 83:8, 83:10, 94:11, 94:14, 97:6 pedestrian [8] -14:13, 16:17, 36:15, 52:17, 52:23, 85:7, 85:13, 85:17 pedestrians [8] -13:17, 13:21, 14:1, 14:2, 14:19, 15:4, 16:10, 16:15 peek [1] - 66:15 pending [1] - 67:19 penetrable [1] -74:16 people [17] - 2:3, 24:8, 46:8, 49:20, 50:3, 54:4, 54:10, 55:7, 55:15, 57:21, 80:14, 85:1, 85:10, 85:14, 86:4, 89:2, 92:2 percent [1] - 47:22 perfect [2] - 23:23, 24:19 perform [1] - 65:16 perhaps [2] - 48:11, perimeter [1] - 76:10 period [5] - 25:4, 28:17, 66:18, 76:3, 88:18 periodically [1] -23:15 **PERLA** [5] - 5:18, 53:14, 89:13, 89:18, 90:6 Perla [3] - 5:18, 53:14, 89:13 permit [1] - 36:2 permits [1] - 19:4 permutations [1] -**PERRELLI** [1] - 7:2

■ACR of Western New York ■ (716)362 - 9838

parkland [1] - 51:17

Parks [5] - 4:8, 5:6,

70:21, 77:9, 78:19

Perrelli [3] - 7:2, 64:3, 64:5 Perry [1] - 80:5 Perry's [1] - 76:14 person [4] - 3:6, 4:4, 47:7, 51:5 perspective [1] -94:17 **pertaining** [1] - 69:8 Peter [2] - 5:21, Petty [1] - 45:17 phase [2] - 67:4, phone [5] - 80:11, 88:6, 88:8, 92:4, 96:2 photograph [1] -12:13 picture [2] - 18:5, 95:6 piece [1] - 10:1 pink [3] - 25:21, 25:22, 34:16 pitched [1] - 47:20 place [12] - 9:14, 14:1, 31:4, 34:20, 38:12, 56:23, 57:9, 57:21, 73:16, 84:20, 85:12, 97:9 places [3] - 52:22, 58:13, 58:14 Places [5] - 24:16, 45:12, 46:3, 59:15, 61:20 placing [1] - 75:1 plan [14] - 13:1, 50:18, 53:16, 65:2, 70:14, 72:23, 73:1, 75:10, 75:11, 79:6, 79:19, 79:22, 83:12, 84:3 planned [1] - 82:6 planning [4] - 83:14, 84:11, 89:14, 94:15 plans [4] - 15:10, 37:1, 48:13, 68:6 planting [1] - 29:15 plausible [1] - 65:23 play [1] - 24:17 plays [1] - 91:21 plaza [4] - 8:6, 47:16, 94:14, 94:22 Plaza [24] - 1:4, 8:9, 8:11, 8:19, 8:20, 8:21, 8:22, 8:23, 9:2, 9:4, 9:7, 16:8, 32:5, 41:3, 41:20, 48:14, 57:14, 66:15, 66:16, 66:19, 66:22, 67:10, 68:9, 97:6

PN [6] - 9:6, 14:8, 14:12, 52:19, 59:16 point [16] - 18:6, 20:18, 32:7, 35:23, 38:20, 42:1, 49:21, 50:12, 67:13, 72:15, 79:2, 79:15, 79:22, 80:17, 91:9, 95:17 pointed [1] - 45:1 points [1] - 50:4 policies [1] - 74:4 policy [1] - 74:11 Policy [1] - 74:5 pollution [2] - 69:19, popular [1] - 56:23 Porter [28] - 9:5, 9:9, 9:19, 9:21, 13:21, 14:4, 14:10, 15:5, 15:11, 16:3, 32:8, 32:10, 33:17, 35:7, 36:9, 36:19, 37:3, 37:4, 44:22, 45:23, 46:13, 52:19, 53:6, 55:14, 55:15, 55:17, 55:21, 74:21 portion [1] - 57:19 position [3] - 66:3, 68:23, 70:1 positive [6] - 2:22, 37:15, 51:13, 51:21, 52:3, 53:9 positives [1] - 53:13 possibility [1] -41:10 possible [4] - 2:17, 52:8, 52:17, 53:2 possibly [3] - 14:5, 14:20, 41:16 potatoes [1] - 26:10 potential [19] - 22:5, 22:22, 31:9, 31:15, 37:16, 39:2, 39:9, 39:10, 42:14, 44:1, 45:2, 45:4, 61:10, 63:23, 65:1, 66:14, 85:18, 87:21 potentially [2] -38:14, 85:7 powerful [1] - 78:22 preclude [2] - 36:23, 64:18 precursor [1] - 87:1 prehistoric [1] -38:14 preliminarily [1] preliminary [8] -30:15, 35:15, 39:12,

50:16, 54:3, 54:15,

84:4, 90:20 prelude [1] - 87:1 prepare [2] - 20:1, prepared [2] - 39:11, preparing [1] - 90:3 prerequisite [1] -87:1 presence [1] - 76:5 Present [1] - 97:5 PRESENT [1] - 1:13 present [5] - 20:18, 34:10, 69:21, 93:17, 94:1 presentation [7] -7:20, 11:10, 11:14, 17:10, 30:13, 30:19, 30.23 presentations [4] -7:12, 7:14, 7:15, 54:15 presented [5] -22:17, 42:5, 50:13, 92:16, 94:2 presenting [1] -63:14 preservation [2] -19:1, 73:10 Preservation [19] -4:12, 5:10, 6:8, 7:9, 10:20, 11:3, 11:12, 18:9, 18:14, 31:18, 44:15, 45:10, 45:14, 46:1, 59:7, 72:11, 77:18, 80:18, 94:9 preservationists [1] - 75:8 president [2] - 5:5, 51:7 **President** [1] - 62:5 pressing [1] - 66:4 pretty [3] - 10:7, 18:21, 25:12 prevailing [1] - 58:22 prevent [1] - 74:10 previous [10] -25:14, 32:20, 37:23, 50:20, 61:14, 63:13, 68:22, 75:19, 81:9, 81:11 previously [1] -61:22 primarily [1] - 38:10 primary [2] - 8:5, Principal [1] - 1:18 principle [2] - 60:6, 60:17

procedures [1] proceedings [1] process [44] - 3:19, 17:12, 17:19, 18:20, 18:23, 19:17, 20:13, 21:4, 21:15, 21:16, 21:17, 21:23, 22:7, 22:8, 22:19, 23:16, 28:19, 31:10, 32:17, 32:18, 33:9, 41:9, 43:19, 44:14, 48:19, 59:4, 61:8, 61:9, 63:2, 63:15, 63:18, 65:9, 65:15, 68:16, 77:1, 78:11. 81:9. 88:11. 88:13, 88:14, 88:15, 88:19 processes [1] -73:16 product [1] - 74:22 Products [1] - 83:13 profile [1] - 47:18 profit [1] - 78:21 program [1] - 41:12 progress [1] - 82:7 progresses [1] -35:14 prohibited [1] -60:23 Project [12] - 1:3, 1:18, 62:15, 63:2, 63:20, 66:10, 67:2, 68:1, 68:8, 68:9, 69:9, 97:6 project [96] - 7:13, 7:22, 8:3, 8:5, 8:15, 8:19, 12:12, 12:16, 12:23, 16:21, 17:21, 19:20, 20:14, 21:5, 22:5, 22:17, 22:23, 23:3, 23:8, 23:12, 24:7, 25:23, 26:8, 26:12, 26:19, 26:22, 27:7, 30:9, 30:22, 31:9, 31:21, 32:2, 32:3, 32:13, 32:21, 34:15, 35:8, 35:13, 36:1, 36:4, 36:5, 37:2, 37:20, 38:22, 39:8, 39:23, 40:3, 42:2, 47:4, 48:5, 51:16, 53:9, 53:13, 54:20, 55:4, 57:3, 59:10, 60:3, 61:12, 63:4, 63:13, 65:4, 66:4, 66:5, 66:12, 66:14, 70:21, 71:1, 72:17, 77:3, 79:3, 81:8,

81:12, 81:19, 81:20, 82:1, 82:4, 86:6, 86:7, 86:11, 86:21, 86:23, 90:4, 90:9, 90:13, 93:17, 93:23, 94:11, 94:13, 94:14, 94:16, 94:23, 95:5, 95:6 project's [2] - 36:21, projects [16] - 2:15, 2:18, 21:18, 26:6, 28:9, 66:22, 67:4, 68:2, 68:11, 68:15, 68:23, 69:3, 71:22, 82:8, 84:12, 87:14 properly [1] - 50:11 Properties [1] -42:15 properties [42] -17:20, 18:12, 18:20, 19:8, 19:10, 20:9, 20:21, 21:18, 22:6, 22:21, 23:1, 23:10, 23:17, 23:21, 24:6, 24:7, 24:12, 26:18, 26:19, 26:21, 27:2, 27:11, 28:9, 30:16, 31:23, 32:23, 33:15, 36:2, 36:6, 37:6, 37:9, 37:13, 39:11, 48:20, 49:3, 49:4, 55:8, 55:11, 60:19, 64:7, 64:20 property [18] - 2:16, 25:1, 25:16, 26:15, 27:9, 28:7, 28:14, 29:7, 29:15, 30:3, 36:11, 55:6, 56:14, 57:9, 67:16, 67:19 proposal [1] - 69:13 proposals [2] -75:14, 79:7 propose [1] - 62:1 proposed [23] - 8:16, 29:13, 32:10, 36:12, 36:14, 36:18, 36:21, 37:2, 38:21, 40:2, 40:6, 46:19, 52:5, 52:13, 53:5, 59:9, 59:15, 60:3, 60:15, 60:22, 61:11, 62:14 proposing [1] - 40:3 prospect [1] - 88:15 Prospect [16] - 5:14, 5:19, 7:4, 26:2, 33:20, 37:7, 62:7, 62:10, 67:5, 68:1, 69:10, 70:22, 71:21, 77:9, 77:12, 77:14 prospective [1] -

73:10 protect [4] - 73:16, 73:20, 73:21, 74:5 protected [1] - 62:19 protecting [1] -18:19 Protection [1] - 66:8 protection [1] provide [16] - 2:13, 7:16, 7:19, 8:8, 12:9, 13:20, 40:4, 41:14, 65:13, 65:17, 80:20, 82:17, 88:10, 89:10, 93:9, 93:21 provided [4] - 16:17, 33:11, 50:2, 95:13 provides [3] - 47:16, 61:9, 61:17 providing [3] -20:15, 40:19, 94:18 proximity [1] - 95:5 Public [9] - 1:8, 4:10, 47:20, 48:12, 71:10, 72:3, 74:22, 97:3, 97:18 public [16] - 17:1, 17:2, 19:14, 21:6, 21:14, 22:13, 36:20, 47:5, 67:9, 68:6, 69:1, 69:4, 91:19, 93:15, 93:16, 94:1 public's [1] - 28:15 published [2] - 8:4, publishing [1] -95:23 pull [1] - 82:10 pulled [1] - 79:12 pumping [5] - 12:8, 56:10, 56:12, 56:15, Pumping [1] - 46:4 purchase [1] ~ 68:10 purchased [1] -67:12 purpose [12] - 8:2, 8:7, 18:23, 32:2, 41:17, 73:19, 86:18, 86:19, 86:20, 90:12, 94:18, 94:21 purposely [1] - 46:15 purposes [2] - 11:1, pursuant [1] - 1:5 pushback [1] - 86:15 put [8] - 23:19, 35:10, 40:7, 40:14, 73:16, 80:1, 85:14, 86:7

**puts** [1] - 79:9 putting [4] - 41:16, 46:9, 86:6, 87:7

read [2] - 18:22,

ready [1] - 95:21

realignment [1] -

reality [2] - 35:8,

realize [1] - 38:13

really [10] - 8:3,

48:21, 54:9, 55:22,

56:1, 58:23, 72:13,

reason [10] - 2:6,

40:12, 53:19, 64:9,

85:3, 87:3, 94:23

Rec [1] - 4:8

73:17, 75:7, 77:4

real [2] - 51:13,

25:10

83:16

51:11

79:23

#### Q

qualified [1] - 27:9 qualify [2] - 36:11, 37:9 qualifying [1] - 28:8 quality [2] - 36:2, 54:9 Queenston [2] -47:23, 71:7 questions [5] -39:18, 39:19, 43:20, 92:7, 95:20 quickly [1] - 31:8 quiet [1] - 18:2 quite [1] - 52:4 quote [4] - 63:4, 65:21, 69:15, 69:18

#### R

recapturing [1] -51:17 **RABB** [1] - 4:7 receive [5] - 21:12, Rabb [1] - 4:7 66:8, 89:21, 89:22, Rachel [1] - 7:6 90:19 rail [1] - 84:12 received [10] - 23:4, railroad [4] - 10:4, 31:5, 31:6, 34:9, 42:8, 83:22, 84:8, 84:18 42:9, 42:10, 42:13, rails [2] - 84:9 50:14, 65:19 raised [1] - 62:10 receiving [3] - 42:10, raising [2] - 43:21, 42:20, 88:2 93:7 recently [1] - 33:4 ramp [34] - 8:22, recognize [1] - 56:9 8:23, 9:6, 9:7, 9:9, recognized [6] -9:19, 9:22, 10:5, 10:6, 19:13, 20:19, 20:20, 12:21, 14:8, 14:9, 22:9, 43:14, 84:10 14:12, 15:17, 16:6, recommend [2] -32:6, 40:5, 40:8, 20:2, 73:17 40:18, 41:16, 41:19, reconfigure [1] -50:1, 52:19, 56:17, 69:13 57:23, 59:16, 65:22, reconfiguring [1] -70:20, 72:17, 80:7, 85:14, 85:22 reconnect [1] - 40:16 ramps [16] - 13:23, reconnects [1] - 52:1 14:20, 15:14, 15:21, reconstruction [2] -15:22, 37:3, 41:15, 36:18, 45:2 41:19, 49:6, 60:9, record [6] - 2:12, 60:15, 60:22, 70:17, 17:4, 30:8, 42:19, 93:19, 93:20 62:7, 62:22 range [4] - 58:6, recreate [1] - 52:16 64:19, 65:5, 65:13 recreated [1] - 52:8 rather [1] - 53:12 recreational [1] rating [1] - 47:20 51:14 **RAYMOND** [1] - 6:1 red [3] - 11:18, Raymond [1] - 6:1 25:22, 34:16 re [1] - 62:2 redirect [1] - 8:12 re-examine [1] - 62:2 reduce [1] - 8:7

reading [1] - 53:15 23:12, 23:13, 38:17, reasonable [2] - 91:6 reasons [1] - 53:10 recapture [1] - 51:22

reduced [1] - 47:15 reenforce [1] - 74:17 reevaluate [2] -23:13, 73:18 refer [2] - 18:13, 18:14 reference [2] - 4:2, 81:10 references [1] -88:12 referred [1] - 82:3 referring [1] - 18:17 refreshing [1] - 47:6 regarding [5] - 2:15, 20:21, 44:11, 60:8, 80:15 region [2] - 72:20, 76:3 Region [4] - 6:22, 7:1, 10:18, 17:16 Regional [2] - 6:20, 17:15 register [3] - 2:6, 2:11, 63:5 Register [18] - 17:20, 25:5, 25:9, 25:18, 26:17, 27:10, 33:2, 36:4, 36:12, 37:10, 44:12, 45:11, 46:3, 49:17, 59:14, 61:19, 62:23, 75:15 Register's [1] - 25:2 registered [1] - 70:8 Registry [1] - 24:15 regulation [1] -20:11 regulations [1] -87:23 regulatory [1] -73:20 rehabilitation [1] -45:13 reinforce [1] - 75:3 reject [1] - 64:11 rejecting [1] - 71:22 relate [1] - 33:12 related [3] - 46:14, 48:21, 83:4 relates [1] - 32:2 relating [2] - 63:8, 69:14 relation [1] - 30:22 relationship [3] -21:1, 64:13, 73:12 religious [1] - 20:22 relocated [1] - 11:18 relocating [4] - 13:4, 13:11, 40:13, 40:16 relocation [3] -32:10, 40:23, 63:9

remain [1] - 62:15 remaining [1] - 83:19 remains [3] - 28:4, 38:13, 49:15 remember [3] - 32:1, 32:3, 32:13 remnants [3] - 44:23, 49:15, 84:19 removal [5] - 36:12, 37:14, 68:23, 69:12, 69:16 remove [7] - 8:13, 29:13, 40:21, 70:7, 70:15, 73:11 removed [2] - 9:16, 29:17 removing [3] - 13:9, 29:14, 71:11 renewal [1] - 32:9 renovating [1] -66:22 renovation [1] -67:22 Renovation [1] replace [1] - 15:11 replaced [2] - 15:20, 16:12 replacement [2] -41:11, 41:13 replacing [1] - 41:13 Report [2] - 42:16, 71:14 report [7] - 49:12, 64:2, 64:4, 64:11, 71:4, 93:14, 95:23 reports [3] - 35:18, 37:23, 72:2 representing [4] -3:13, 4:5, 44:1, 59:7 represents [1] -31:20 request [3] - 44:7, 91:7, 93:18 require [2] - 70:9, 75:11 required [3] - 20:12, 66:5, 69:4 requirements [2] -21:9, 28:23 requires [2] - 18:9, requiring [1] - 68:16 rescind [2] - 63:1, 63:16 research [1] - 90:11 reservations [1] -Reserve [1] - 45:18 reserving [1] - 53:3

■ACR of Western New York ■ (716)362 - 9838

resetting [1] - 76:13 resident [6] - 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 5:22, 7:5, 77:8 residential [1] residents [6] - 49:4, 60:19, 70:23, 71:21, 78:16, 78:23 residents' [1] - 77:15 resolutions [1] -71:9 resolve [1] - 29:2 resolved~[1]-63:14resolving [2] - 21:19, 29:5 resource [12] - 49:7, 49:16, 49:23, 51:11, 59:14, 60:5, 63:7, 63:8, 72:20, 73:5, 73:11 Resource [1] - 17:16 resources [30] -31:11, 31:12, 31:13, 32:18, 33:13, 35:9, 35:18, 35:23, 37:16, 37:21, 37:22, 38:2, 38:15, 39:2, 44:18, 44:20, 45:3, 45:5, 60:12, 61:5, 61:15, 61:19, 61:20, 73:13, 73:17, 73:21, 73:22, 74:11, 81:18 respect [1] - 3:6 respond [1] - 90:21 responded [1] - 44:7 responding [1] -90:23 responsibility [3] -20:6, 23:18, 47:4 responsible [1] -19:18 rest [2] - 85:20, 87:12 restoration [4] -36:15, 46:11, 46:17, 69:16 restore[4] - 51:23, 70:14, 74:6, 75:5 restored [1] - 9:16 result [4] - 19:20, 59:9, 63:5, 70:16 resulted [1] - 33:9 results [1] - 35:15 retain [1] - 25:3 returning [1] - 36:14 review [20] - 9:15, 17:1, 17:3, 24:4, 26:6, 27:18, 31:8, 32:22, 33:5, 33:6, 54:13,

61:8, 61:9, 63:6, 64:21, 68:17, 89:4, 89:6, 89:10, 95:4 reviewed [4] - 21:9, 28:17, 32:18, 34:1 revisited [1] - 49:18 Revitalization [1] -57:3 Rich [1] - 83:13 right-of-way [2] -40:10, 40:11 **RITA** [1] - 11:7 Rita [1] - 11:7 river [6] - 55:20, 57:11, 57:16, 60:17, 75:22, 76:1 River [7] - 57:6, 59:18, 60:2, 60:18, 61:7, 62:21, 73:3 Riverwalk [21] - 9:18, 10:2, 10:4, 10:9, 10:11, 10:15, 11:17, 11:18, 12:4, 12:7, 35:4, 40:9, 40:13, 40:17, 45:4, 51:12, 57:2, 57:5, 85:6, 85:11, 85:20 Riviere [1] - 1:19 road [5] - 10:13, 12:8, 12:9, 64:23, 70:20 Road [1] - 1:16 **ROBINSON** [5] - 7:8, 72:10, 81:7, 81:22, 82:5 Robinson [2] - 7:8, 72:10 Rock [4] - 83:20, 84:19, 84:21, 84:22 role [6] - 19:17, 19:23, 20:5, 20:11, 65:13, 65:16 roles [1] - 63:3 Room [1] - 1:15 room [2] - 4:4, 75:17 roughly [2] - 76:4, 76:11 roundabout [11] -14:9, 14:11, 14:14, 14:21, 41:4, 52:20, 53:16, 78:1, 78:3, 90:7 roundabouts [2] -53:17, 53:20

rules [1] - 3:23 ruling [1] - 68:20 run [1] - 11:22 S safe [1] - 13:20 safety [1] - 36:20 Sam [1] - 65:19 SANTANGELO [1] -4:20 Santangelo [1] -4:20 saw [2] - 33:21, 49:19 Scajaquada [1] scape [2] - 52:21, 73:4 scapes [1] - 74:20 scenario [1] - 91:21 scenic [6] - 49:16, 72:20, 73:5, 73:21, 74:11, 74:20 schedule [2] - 16:18, 16:19 scheduling [1] - 95:3 scheme [1] - 23:23 SCHOLLS [1] - 6:23 Scholls [1] - 6:23 scope [7] ~ 23:6, 23:12, 26:7, 39:22, 40:3, 40:20, 76:19 scoped [1] - 16:21 scoping [10] - 8:1, 14:16, 15:6, 16:19, 22:15, 22:16, 41:9, 68:16, 93:13, 93:14 seat [1] - 2:3 second [7] - 10:17, 54:13, 54:16, 60:11, 62:16, 72:19, 72:21 Second [1] - 55:16 secrecy [1] - 67:20 secretary [1] - 44:6 section [5] - 21:17, 22:1, 70:23, 83:6, 90.22 Section [15] - 1:4, 3:19, 3:21, 17:11, 17:18, 18:8, 54:13, 61:8, 62:11, 63:15, roundtable [1] -64:17, 64:20, 65:6, 39:16 65:8, 97:7 route [4] - 8:20, 9:2, secure [1] - 13:20 9:4. 9:8 Security [1] - 66:6 routing [2] - 8:19, see [18] - 12:22, 24:10, 25:23, 44:8,

rowing [1] - 79:17

**RSP** [1] - 67:15

49:21, 51:20, 52:2, 52:18, 57:15, 57:17, 57:19, 57:22, 75:9, 75:10, 75:16, 78:6, 82:11, 90:12 seeing [1] - 52:8 seek [1] - 46:16 seeker [2] - 68:17, 73:15 seem [3] - 75:19, 77:2, 90:6 segmentation [5] -68:19, 86:12, 87:8, 87:21, 95:9 segmented [1] self [1] - 25:12 self-explanatory [1] 25:12 selling [1] - 86:13 send [1] - 42:21 Seneca [3] - 1:6, 21:2, 22:11 Senecas [2] - 21:3, 22:11 sense [3] - 12:22, 86:8, 87:18 SENSENHEY (1) -Sensenhey [1] - 4:9 sensitivity [2] - 38:8, 38:9 Sensitivity [1] -42:16 sent [11] - 23:5, 24:8, 27:17, 33:23, 34:1, 42:22, 43:15, 88:23, 89:6, 92:6 separate [2] - 15:22, 68:11 series [1] - 17:18 seriousness [1] -47:3 serve [1] - 73:19 serves [2] - 90:12, 94:18 Service [2] - 44:5, 44:17 **Services** [1] - 66:7 services [1] - 64:23 session [1] - 80:22 set [2] - 76:6, 97:9 sets [1] - 43:7 setting [6] - 27:12, 29:10, 29:15, 29:20, 38:16, 76:6 settings [1] - 36:7 seven [1] - 67:13 Seventh [1] - 55:17

several [3] - 54:3,

59:8. 61:15 severed [1] - 12:17 shaded [2] - 34:19, 35:1 shed [9] - 50:4, 56:18, 56:22, 58:1, 58:10, 58:12, 59:13, 60:12, 61:6 **sheds** [1] - 77:23 sheet [1] - 41:8 Sheridan [2] - 57:8, 58:13 shorthand [1] -97:10 **shorts** [1] - 7:12 shovel [1] - 35:10 show [3] - 15:4, 24:6, showcase [1] - 59:23 showed [1] - 46:10 showing [4] - 9:15, 12:2, 12:4, 16:5 shown [5] - 12:4, 15:1, 41:7, 46:20, 85:5 shows [2] - 12:13, 25:23 SHPO [9] - 19:12, 20:5, 27:17, 31:19, 33:23, 34:9, 35:5, 91:15 shrouded [1] - 67:20 shut [1] - 87:4 **shutting** [1] - 63:12 side [18] - 3:15, 12:20, 12:21, 13:16, 14:4, 14:14, 14:16, 14:17, 15:4, 15:18, 16:10, 16:15, 49:15, 58:17, 58:23, 62:20, 71:17, 76:12 sidewalk [5] - 12:15, 14:3, 14:4, 16:14, 16:16 sidewalks [1] - 53:5 sign [4] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:10, 2:11 sign-in [4] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:10, 2:11 signal [1] - 13:16 signalized [1] -14:20 signals [3] - 15:1, 15:3, 16:1 significance [10] -20:22, 24:21, 24:23, 74:12, 75:22, 76:1, 76:7, 76:9, 76:10, 86.5 significant [2] -

72:19, 74:7 speakers [1] - 61:14 station [5] - 12:8, structure [4] - 24:16, Tanya [6] - 10:20, 11:1, 80:13, 81:1, signs [1] - 16:2 speaking [4] - 3:6, 56:10, 56:12, 56:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:22 92:9, 94:8 similarly [3] - 45:8, 3:17, 47:10, 80:16 61:22 structures [5] -Station [1] - 46:4 24:14, 33:10, 74:6, tanya [1] - 11:2 45:22, 46:4 speaks [1] - 3:10 taper[1] - 18:2 simple [1] - 94:12 Statistics [1] - 47:21 83:17, 83:18 Special [2] - 1:15, statute [1] - 80:5 students [1] - 84:4 taxpayer [1] - 72:9 simpleminded [1] -7:11 studies [3] - 24:6, stay [5] - 3:18, 16:10, team [1] - 64:6 94:12 specific [2] - 53:4, 75:19, 81:11 simultaneously [1] -84:11 48:19, 48:21, 48:23 technical [3] - 7:20, 67:2 studio [1] - 84:5 20:16, 20:17 specifically [8] stenographer [2] sit [1] - 61:4 9:21, 21:10, 36:9, 2:23, 3:13 study [7] - 16:3, TEILMAN [3] - 47:9, site [7] - 39:6, 57:5, 44:22, 53:18, 69:1, stenographer's [1] -38:3, 38:13, 47:19, 49:1, 49:10 71:14, 72:1, 82:2 60:2, 69:5, 79:9, 69:12, 71:6 11:1 Teilman [1] - 47:9 speed [1] - 79:5 stuff [3] - 59:1, 82:9, 84:13, 85:2 step [10] - 21:21, terminating [2] sites [3] - 24:13, spend [1] - 79:8 21:22, 22:20, 29:4, 83:17 63:1, 63:3 74:6, 81:13 spending [1] - 72:9 44:20, 73:18, 90:1, subject [2] - 26:7, terms [4] - 38:7, sitting [1] - 90:16 spent[1] - 81:14 91:16 94:15 45:21, 75:18, 76:1 **submitted** [2] - 21:9, steps [7] - 17:18, terrace [2] - 57:13, situated [1] - 69:17 spirit [2] - 78:20, 21:16, 21:22, 28:6, 66:13 situation [2] - 33:6, 87:22 57:15 30:20, 52:3, 59:3 subscribed [1] -**Terrace** [1] - 58:13 34:8 **square** [1] - 13:15 still [4] - 16:18, **squeeze** [3] - 10:12, 97:14 Terrance [1] - 57:8 six [1] - 26:10 52:18, 75:11, 79:6 substantially [1] -Terry [3] - 7:8, 72:10, Sixth [1] - 49:13 10:14, 11:15 stop [3] - 16:2, slide [10] - 8:2, Stage [1] - 71:13 53:18, 53:20 20:20, 25:6, 25:13, suggest [1] - 95:12 thereafter [1] - 97:11 stage [1] - 78:22 25:20, 27:4, 34:14, stopped [1] - 9:13 suggestions [1] therefore [7] - 8:10, stakeholder[1] straight [2] - 16:7, 93:16 39:21, 46:21, 50:22 58:8 36:17, 62:21, 63:11, 50:2 Suite [1] - 1:19 slides [4] - 7:23, stakeholders [2] -64:11, 68:14, 95:7 26:10, 46:10, 50:13 straighten [1] suits [1] - 42:2 they've [1] - 20:2 15:7, 83:13 slight [1] - 38:13 15:20 summarizing [1] thinking [2] - 13:11, stand [2] - 45:16, slip [1] - 39:20 Street [10] - 1:6, 30:15 15:16 16:8, 49:13, 54:2, sloping [1] - 95:23 start [5] - 12:12, summary [1] - 28:14 third [2] - 30:13, 55:16, 55:17, 55:19, SUNY [2] - 5:12, 38:1 **SMITH** [1] - 7:6 17:5, 18:2, 22:21, 41:16 55:20, 83:6, 84:23 Smith [1] - 7:6 88.9 supervision [1] thirty [1] - 88:18 STREET [3] - 59:5, sole [1] - 41:17 started [2] - 2:2, 97:11 **Thomas** [2] - 51:6, 82:20, 82:23 **supplies** [1] - 27:3 78:18 solution [4] - 52:14, 82:13 street [5] - 13:16, starts [1] - 31:16 supported [1] -53:2, 74:14, 76:20 thomas [1] - 5:4 13:22, 41:3, 52:21, 79:22 **STATE** [1] - 97:1 **THOMAS** [1] - 1:18 someone [1] - 10:17 60:20 state [11] - 5:10, suppose [1] - 81:13 three [6] - 7:12, sometime [1] - 43:1 streets [6] - 8:8, 10:22, 63:7, 67:10, Supreme [1] - 68:19 16:14, 27:19, 41:1, sooner [1] - 15:17 47:15, 48:3, 61:1, 68:5, 68:7, 72:17, surround [1] - 70:17 41:21, 67:13 sorry [1] - 69:10 64:8, 66:20 74:8, 74:12, 82:21, surrounded [2] throughout [1] sort [4] - 74:16, 75:7, STREETT [39] - 1:14, 82:23 62:19, 68:2 52:21 81:11, 93:2 2:1, 7:10, 10:18, sought [1] - 47:5 State [30] - 1:14, surrounding [4] -Thruway [28] - 5:3, 10:22, 11:4, 11:9, 4:18, 4:21, 5:3, 6:2, 52:2, 55:12, 69:10, 8:12, 8:21, 9:1, 9:3, sounds [1] - 88:7 11:13, 17:13, 30:12, source [3] - 51:2, 6:5, 6:17, 6:21, 7:1, 73:13 9:8, 9:20, 10:5, 11:20, 39:17, 43:6, 43:18, 10:21, 11:3, 11:6, 11:21, 12:1, 14:12, Survey [2] - 5:12, 7:3 73:3 45:15, 47:7, 48:16, 31:16, 31:18, 41:13, 14:23, 15:9, 15:10, surveys [1] - 24:9 South [1] - 53:1 49:9, 51:4, 53:21, 44:12, 44:15, 44:16, 15:11, 15:13, 15:17, south [10] - 11:20, Susan [4] - 1:8, 2:23, 62:3, 77:6, 80:10, 44:19, 62:18, 65:20, 15:21, 15:23, 16:6, 97:3, 97:18 12:20, 14:4, 14:14, 80:23, 81:5, 82:13, 67:11, 68:19, 70:12, 32:6, 41:13, 44:14, 14:17, 15:4, 16:10, suspect [1] - 34:4 88:4, 88:18, 89:9, 74:23, 75:12, 75:14, 45:19, 60:23, 62:19, sweeping [1] - 59:23 55:13, 55:17, 55:20 90:8, 91:10, 91:23, 97:4, 97:18 75:1 southbound [2] -**Sylvia** [7] - 6:19, 92:4, 92:11, 92:15, statement [3] thumbs [1] - 18:3 17:14, 17:17, 17:22, 8:21, 9:8 92:21, 93:11, 94:16, 41:23, 72:12, 76:7 tie [1] - 11:23 30:12, 30:20, 31:19 southern [1] - 57:19 95:11, 95:19 statements [5] system [4] - 13:19, **TIELMAN** [1] - 6:9 southwest [1] - 37:4 Streett [1] - 7:10 60:7, 62:12, 67:9, 46:20, 52:21, 59:22 Tielman [1] - 6:9 southwestern [2] stretch [1] - 62:18 69:1, 72:13 ties [1] - 85:3 59:16, 60:16 strictly [1] - 3:21 States [1] - 62:17 T tight [1] - 10:14 space [2] - 9:17, strive [1] - 52:16 states [3] - 47:14, Tim [2] - 6:9, 47:9 36:14 strong [2] - 52:7, 65:21, 71:4 table [1] - 90:17 timed [1] - 15:12 **speaker** [3] - 3:7, 53:1 stating [1] - 62:22 takeaway [1] - 39:7 timeline [2] - 65:3, 17:14, 50:20

> ACR of Western New York -(716)362-9838

65:15 today [24] - 2:13, 4:1, 7:12, 9:3, 16:20, 20:18, 42:9, 43:2, 43:17, 50:14, 63:14, 63:19, 65:9, 85:11, 87:19, 88:13, 89:3, 89:4, 89:6, 89:20, 91:12, 92:2, 94:3, 95:22 together [2] - 49:22, 57:16 **Tom** [8] - 7:18, 11:13, 17:13, 22:14, 39:20, 40:5, 41:1, 45:1 **Tom's** [1] - 46:10 tomorrow [1] - 65:9 Tonawanda [2] -21:3, 22:11 took [1] - 11:17 tools [1] - 50:9 top [1] - 10:8 topic [2] - 48:22, 94:10 totality [1] - 64:14 touch [2] - 31:12, touched [1] - 61:13 tough [1] - 95:17 tour [1] - 64:6 towards [2] - 9:1, 14:6 **Towers** [1] - 24:19 track [1] - 11:19 tracks [2] - 10:4, 84:8 tractor[1] - 48:8 traffic [44] - 8:8, 8:12, 8:18, 9:1, 9:4, 13:16, 15:1, 16:1, 16:5, 36:13, 37:19, 41:2, 41:7, 41:19, 47:16, 47:19, 47:22, 48:2, 48:6, 48:9, 48:21, 49:2, 49:5, 52:10, 53:18, 54:21, 54:23, 55:1, 55:5, 55:22, 56:4, 68:13, 69:14, 70:16, 71:7, 71:12, 71:19, 76:20, 76:22, 78:8, 93:8, 95.7 Traffic [1] - 47:21 trail [8] - 84:5, 84:6, 84:12, 85:1, 85:9, 85:15, 85:19 trailer [1] - 48:8 trails [2] - 84:9, 84:10

transcribed [1] -97:11 transcript [4] -62:12, 88:3, 89:22, transportation [7] -40:4, 51:18, 63:13, 68:18, 71:22, 84:10, 94:19 Transportation [13] -1:6, 1:14, 4:19, 4:21, 6:2, 6:5, 6:17, 7:1, 7:18, 11:8, 47:2, 63:20, 74:23 traversing [1] - 49:5 tree [5] - 29:10, 29:13, 29:14, 29:16 tribes [3] - 19:13, 20:20, 22:10 truck [2] - 71:7, 71:12 trucks [6] - 53:18, 53:20, 60:22, 61:3, 72:1, 72:5 truly [1] - 61:11 Trust [2] - 11:12, 42:19 truthfully [1] - 50:17 try [3] - 24:2, 89:7, 93:23 trying [3] - 48:20, 48:22, 93:17 Tuesday [2] - 1:7, 97:7 turn [2] - 3:11, 7:17 Twin [1] - 24:19 two [16] - 12:9, 15:22, 33:16, 34:1, 41:6, 43:6, 47:21, 51:1, 55:13, 67:4, 71:8, 71:15, 71:20, 72:2, 73:9, 74:22 **type** [2] - 24:21, 60:8 typically [2] - 18:14, 24:17

#### U

**U.S** [5] - 1:4, 66:15,

66:18, 67:10, 97:6

UB [4] - 7:3, 58:4,

82:2, 84:4

UB's [1] - 33:5

unchanged [1] 
62:15

unclear [1] - 50:5

undated [1] - 42:15

under [5] - 44:4,

59:14, 74:11, 82:7,

97:11

undergoing [1] -22:8 underneath [5] -10:5, 11:23, 12:15, 40:11, 68:17 understood [1] undertaking [10] -18:11, 19:6, 19:8, 22:2, 26:13, 26:22, 27:20, 29:13, 44:11, 45:13 undertakings [2] -19:2, 19:3 undeveloped [1] -38:10 undisputedly [1] -69:18 unidentified [2] -33:12, 33:13 United [1] - 62:17 unknown [1] - 50:1 unless [1] - 69:5 unsightliness [2] -69:20. 70:16 up [31] - 4:1, 10:16, 11:22, 12:8, 12:19, 13:8, 13:23, 17:10, 18:3, 31:4, 33:23, 35:3, 39:15, 43:19, 55:19, 56:4, 56:17, 56:18, 58:21, 75:12, 81:23, 82:10, 82:14, 82:20, 85:20, 90:2, 93:19, 93:23, 95:9 update [4] - 24:5, 33:7, 46:6, 82:2 updated [2] - 24:8, 38:1 urban [1] - 38:16 usage [1] - 51:18 user [2] - 50:8, 50:9 users [4] - 36:21, 70:21, 78:5, 79:20 uses [2] - 64:3, 69:20 utilities [1] - 94:17 utility [1] - 94:20 utilize [1] - 13:14

#### 1

vacuum [1] - 90:23 valuable [1] - 81:16 value [1] - 73:5 values [1] - 55:7 vantage [1] - 18:5 various [2] - 31:1, 78:12 vehicles [3] - 52:11, 52:15, 58:21

vehicular [1] - 45:21 vertical [1] - 23:11 vicinity [2] - 73:14, 76:14 view [24] - 10:2, 12:3, 28:15, 37:17, 45:23, 50:2, 50:4, 56:18, 56:21, 57:1, 57:12, 57:14, 57:23, 58:10, 58:12, 59:13, 59:17, 60:10, 60:12, 60:17, 61:6, 73:4, 74:20, 77:23 viewer [1] - 49:6 views [5] - 2:15, 21:13, 59:23, 60:6 Village [1] - 83:20 violates [1] - 68:19 visible [1] - 77:22 Vision [3] - 54:1, 83:2. 83:4 visit [1] - 57:5 visits [1] - 84:13 vista [1] - 60:10 vistas [1] - 60:1 visuai [4] - 37:12, 37:18, 49:23, 93:18 visualization [2] -93:22, 94:2 visualize [2] - 10:6, 93:18 visuals [1] - 93:7 voice [1] - 78:22 volume [1] - 71:18

# **W wait** [2] - 3:11, 20:19

waiting [1] - 52:18

walked [2] - 57:8,

walkway [3] - 12:19,

57:12

13:19, 51:12

walkways [3] -

12:17, 13:18, 36:15

wants [1] - 68:7 War [1] - 83:16 wARBIDSKI [1] -80:13 WARBIDSKI [9] -10:19, 11:2, 92:8, 92:13, 92:18, 94:8, 95:2, 95:14, 96:1 Warbidski [1] - 11:2 WARBINSKI [1] -81:3 Ward [1] - 46:4 Washington [1] -4:13

water [5] - 51:13,

61:6, 67:6, 79:6, 79:21 water's [2] - 45:20, 79:18 waterfront [2] -59:18, 60:1 waterway [1] - 45:3 ways [5] - 19:9, 27:19, 41:7, 72:19, 93:18 week [3] - 16:22, 95:22 weeks [2] - 56:3, 61:3 weigh [2] - 78:15, 78:17 weighing [2] - 74:1, 74:2 weight [1] - 70:11 west [4] - 45:19, 55:19, 58:22, 71:16 WHEREOF [1] -97:13 whole [2] - 33:19, 79:3 wide [5] - 14:3, 14:4, 16:14, 60:22, 74:12 widening [2] - 66:23, wider [2] - 26:1, 53:5 width [4] - 10:15, 84:9, 84:10, 84:12 WILSON [2] - 6:7, 59:6 **Wilson** [2] - 6:7, 59:6 winds [1] - 58:22 wing [1] - 69:17 wise [2] - 16:18, 78:6 WITNESS [1] - 97:13 Wolf [1] - 1:16 wonder [1] - 95:2 wonderful [1] - 74:9 words [2] - 3:5, 73:9 workmanship [2] -27:12, 36:7 works [1] - 54:7

#### Υ

written [4] - 28:16,

30:10, 62:12, 80:20

Works [1] - 4:10

wrapping [2] - 82:14,

yacht [1] - 79:17 Yacht [2] - 45:22, 61:23 yard [1] - 76:13 year [9] - 17:2, 17:4, 17:6, 22:15, 29:10,

ACR of Western New York = (716)362-9838

47:21, 81:9, 86:14 year-to-year [1] -47:21 years [9] - 24:17, 24:18, 24:20, 32:21, 47:1, 48:14, 51:18, 81:14, 94:15 yellow [1] - 10:6 yesterday [4] -42:22, 43:16, 88:23, 89:5 York [32] - 1:7, 1:14, 1:16, 1:19, 4:15, 4:17, 4:18, 4:21, 5:2, 5:10, 6:1, 6:4, 6:16, 6:21, 6:23, 10:21, 11:3, 11:6, 31:16, 41:12, 44:12, 44:16, 45:18, 62:18, 65:20, 66:9, 67:11, 74:23, 75:12, 97:4, 97:5, 97:18 **YORK** [1] - 97:1

### Ζ

**zone** [2] - 34:22, 73:15 **Zone** [1] - 74:5

		-
		a maring
	4	